H9kWtuc1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 16 Cr. 91 (PKC) V. 5 SCOTT TUCKER and Trial TIMOTHY MUIR, 6 Defendants. 7 -----x 8 New York, N.Y. September 20, 2017 9:20 a.m. 9 10 Before: 11 HON. P. KEVIN CASTEL 12 District Judge and a jury 13 **APPEARANCES** 14 JOON H. KIM Acting United States Attorney for the 15 Southern District of New York BY: NIKETH V. VELAMOOR HAGAN C. SCOTTEN 16 SAGAR K. RAVI 17 Assistant United States Attorneys FREEMAN NOOTER & GINSBERG 18 Attorneys for Defendant Tucker LEE A. GINSBERG 19 BY: NADJIA LIMANI 20 -and-STAMPUR & ROTH 21 BY: JAMES M. ROTH 22 BATH & EDMONDS, P.A. Attorneys for Defendant Muir 23 BY: THOMAS J. BATH -and-24 BEVERLY VAN NESS 25

1 (Trial resumed) MR. SCOTTEN: Your Honor, one brief point. We've 2 3 handed up an instruction that I think the defendants are going to request with our first exhibit. I just wanted to make sure 4 5 we brought it to the Court's attention before the jury came in. 6 THE COURT: When you say we've handed it up, do you 7 mean the government's handed it up? MR. SCOTTEN: The government has handed it up. The 8 defense has also reviewed it and it's my understanding consents 9 10 to it. 11 THE COURT: And both sides want me to give this 12 instruction? 13 MR. SCOTTEN: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Is that right? 15 MR. GINSBERG: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. This is in connection with 16 17 1733. Didn't I give an instruction along these lines? MR. SCOTTEN: You did, your Honor. It is essentially 18 19 identical. We're doing another response to a similar story. 20 THE COURT: I see. That's fine. 21 Bring our jury in, please. 22 (Continued on next page) 23 24 25

25

1 (Jury present) THE COURT: Please be seated. 2 3 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. 4 The government may call its next witness. 5 MR. SCOTTEN: And, your Honor, we're going to do that 6 one exhibit that we handed up the instruction for. 7 THE COURT: Are you offering the exhibit? MR. SCOTTEN: We are, your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Why don't you offer it. 10 MR. SCOTTEN: 1733, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Any objection to Government Exhibit 1733? No, your Honor. 12 MR. BATH: 13 MR. ROTH: No, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 Ladies and gentlemen, let me explain to you, you're going to see some redactions or black markings on this exhibit, 16 Government Exhibit 1733. They were at my direction. 17 18 The exhibit talks about some kind of a story or 19 article, and the story or article proves nothing. The only 20 reason I've allowed any reference to it is because you may hear 21 testimony or see what persons who were alleged to be 22 coconspirators with the defendants, or the defendants, may have 23 done in response to the story or article, and you can only

consider the story or article in the context of looking at what the response to it is. Who the article is by or what it said

H9kWtuc1

1	is not particularly important, and you're not to speculate
2	about it.
3	Actually, I will say it's not important at all to this
4	case.
5	All right. You may display the exhibit.
6	(Government Exhibit 1733 received in evidence)
7	MR. SCOTTEN: Ms. Grant, can we highlight the second
8	email from the bottom, including the body. Perfect.
9	Can you highlight the "from" and now the "to" and then
10	finally the body.
11	Could we zoom out of that and zoom into the next email
12	above it, and again, if you'd highlight the "from," the "to"
13	and the body.
14	Can we move on to the next one and, again, highlight
15	the "from," the "to" and the body.
16	And the last email in this chain.
17	And we can take that down, Ms. Grant.
18	Your Honor, the government calls James Fontano.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	JAMES FONTANO,
21	called as a witness by the Government,
22	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
23	THE COURT: All right. You may inquire.
24	MR. SCOTTEN: Thank you, your Honor.
25	(Continued on next page)

- 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MR. SCOTTEN:
- 3 Q. Good morning, Mr. Fontano.
- 4 A. Good morning.
- 5 Q. Mr. Fontano, where are you from?
- 6 A. Cedar City, Utah.
- 7 | Q. How far did you go in school, sir?
- 8 A. Some college.
- 9 Q. Do you work?
- 10 A. No. I'm retired.
- 11 | Q. Did you work before you retired?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. What did you do?
- 14 A. I owned and operated a corporate management business.
- 15 | Q. And what did that business do?
- 16 A. Basically what we did is provide officers and directors for
- 17 | out-of-state owners of Nevada and Wyoming corporations.
- 18 | Q. And did your business have a name?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | O. What was that name?
- 21 A. It was called Privatech Group.
- 22 | Q. And what was the purpose of providing officers and
- 23 directors for out-of-state owners in Nevada and Wyoming
- 24 | corporations?
- 25 A. For all intents and purposes, it was to provide privacy for

- 1 | those owners.
- 2 | Q. And why did you incorporate in Nevada and Wyoming?
- 3 A. They both had the strongest corporate laws as far as being
- 4 difficult to break the corporate veil.
- 5 | Q. And where was Privatech located when you were in this
- 6 business?
- 7 A. Carson City, Nevada.
- 8 | Q. Did you charge for these services?
- 9 A. I'm sorry?
- 10 Q. Did you charge, did you get paid to do this?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. How much would you charge for basic services?
- 13 A. It depended on what level of service, but it was somewhere
- 14 | between 500 and \$2,400 a year.
- 15 | Q. And who would pay you?
- 16 A. The beneficial owners.
- 17 | Q. What do you mean when you say the beneficial owner?
- 18 A. Well, each company had to have somebody that was benefiting
- 19 | from the ownership of the corporate structure, and that person
- 20 | is who would pay us.
- 21 | Q. Sir, I'm going to hand you what's marked as Government
- 22 Exhibit 2104 and ask you if you recognize it.
- 23 | A. Yes, I do.
- 24 | Q. And what is it?
- 25 A. It is the nominee agreement. It's the agreement that we

would enter into with the owner of the corporate structure to provide our services.

- Q. And what is the name of the corporation in this nominee agreement?
- A. This one is CB Service Corp.

MR. SCOTTEN: Your Honor, the government offers 2104.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. ROTH: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Received.

(Government Exhibit 2104 received in evidence)

BY MR. SCOTTEN:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

22

- Q. And sir, can you just describe in general terms how this agreement works?
- 14 A. The agreement was entered into to provide our services, and
 15 the agreement basically spelled out what we could and could not
 16 do.
 - MR. SCOTTEN: Ms. Grant, could we please highlight the top paragraph and the few lines right below it.
 - Q. Mr. Fontano, who is this agreement between?
- 20 A. It was between Privatech Group and CB Service Corporation 21 and Scott Tucker.
 - Q. And what is Scott Tucker's position here?
- 23 A. He would be the beneficial owner of the corporation.

MR. SCOTTEN: And we'll put this back up in a second, but just for a moment, Ms. Grant, can you put up 1734. And if

- 1 you would highlight the top area where it says company legal
- 2 name and d/b/a name.
- 3 | Q. Mr. Fontano, do you see a d/b/a name listed here for CB
- 4 | Service Corp.?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 | 0. What is it?
- 7 A. Cash Advance.
- 8 MR. SCOTTEN: All right. If we could take that down 9 and put up 2104.
- 10 | Q. Now, you had said Scott Tucker was the beneficial owner.
- 11 | Have you ever met Scott Tucker?
- 12 A. I don't recall ever meeting him in person.
- 13 | Q. Have you spoken with him?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Corresponded with him?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. How?
- 18 A. Telephone and email.
- MR. SCOTTEN: Can we turn to the second page of this
- 20 agreement, Ms. Grant. And could you zoom in on paragraph C,
- 21 | with the initials.
- 22 | Q. Mr. Fontano, do you have an understanding of whose initials
- 23 | those are?
- 24 A. Yes. Scott Tucker.
- 25 | Q. And can you describe what's going on in this paragraph, why

- 1 it's initialed and what that paragraph means?
- 2 A. I had partners who provided people that were offshore who
- 3 would stand in as a nominee shareholder, and the service was
- 4 provided through PTG.
- 5 | Q. All right. So you said before you provided officers and
- 6 | directors?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. What's the difference between nominee officers and
- 9 directors and nominee shareholders?
- 10 \parallel A. The shareholders would actually appear as the stockholders
- 11 of the corporate structure as opposed to the nominee officers
- 12 and directors, who would be on public record; the shareholders
- 13 were not, generally speaking, part of the public record.
- 14 | Q. And do these nominee shareholders actually own the
- 15 corporation in a meaningful sense?
- 16 A. No. They were nominees.
- 17 | Q. So what is their purpose, then? What do they do?
- 18 A. For all intents and purposes, it would be to provide
- 19 anonymity or privacy.
- 20 | Q. How does that work if they're not on the public record
- 21 | either?
- 22 | A. Well, if, if somebody was to look or somehow demand
- 23 | knowledge of who the shareholders were, say through a court
- 24 proceeding, they would then be listed as the shareholders. The
- 25 | nominee shareholders would be listed.

- Q. So if these nominee shareholders are listed, who are the real owners?
- A. The real owner is, again, the beneficial owner, who would be Scott Tucker in this example.
- Q. You said these nominee shareholders were provided by a partner business of yours?
 - A. Well, a business that my partners in Privatech Group owned.
 - Q. And what was the name of this business?
- 9 A. I honestly don't remember. I remember that it was in Nevis.
- 11 | Q. What's Nevis?

- 12 A. Nevis is an island in the Caribbean.
- 13 | Q. Is there a reason it's located in Nevis?
- 14 | A. I'm sorry?
- Q. Is there a reason it was located in Nevis as opposed to, say, Nevada, where you were?
- 17 A. Well, being offshore was more difficult to get to. If
 18 somebody wanted to get in touch with these nominee
- shareholders, they would have to travel to Nevis to get there,
- 20 and that was the headquarters of my partners' business.
- 21 Q. So with you acting as nominee officers and the folks in
- 22 Nevis acting as nominee shareholders, how could somebody
- outside the corporation know who was actually in charge or
- 24 owned it, or anything like that?
- 25 A. It would be very difficult.

- MR. SCOTTEN: Can we turn to the last page of this agreement. And if we could blow up that last paragraph.
 - If you could read that quickly to yourself, I'm going to ask just one or two questions about it.
- OK. Α.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 6 What did you understand -- as a party to this agreement, 7 what did you understand this paragraph to mean?
 - A. Basically that this agreement was confidential, and the only way that it could be disclosed or any information could be disclosed would be either at the request of the beneficial owner or by an order of the court.
 - MR. SCOTTEN: And can we take that down -- actually, I just meant the blowup. My mistake. And could you then please highlight the signature matter.
 - Q. Sir, do you have an understanding of who signed this agreement, in agreement with you?
- 17 My understanding was that it was Scott Tucker. Α.
- 18 Q. And could I just ask you what date Scott Tucker entered 19 this agreement with your company?
- 20 What state? Α.
- 21 Sorry. The date. Date. Q.
- 22 Oh, the date? 6/10 of '02.
- 23 MR. SCOTTEN: All right. We can take that down.
- 24 Sir, I'm going to hand you what has been marked as
- 25 Government Exhibit 2108. Please take a look at it and tell me

1 | if you recognize it.

A. Yes, I do.

2

5

8

9

10

- 3 Q. And what is this exhibit?
- 4 A. This is a newer version of the nominee agreement.
 - Q. And is it with a particular company?
- 6 A. The company is Silver State Business Administrators.

7 MR. SCOTTEN: Your Honor, the government offers 2108.

MR. ROTH: No objection.

THE COURT: Received.

(Government Exhibit 2108 received in evidence)

- 11 BY MR. SCOTTEN:
- 12 Q. All right. Mr. Fontano, this agreement looks different
- 13 | than the last one we saw. Is it different?
- 14 A. The biggest difference is that this updated version does
- 15 | not list the beneficial owner.
- 16 | Q. And is that a change you made to your form?
- 17 A. Well, it was a change that was recommended by one of the
- 18 attorneys that worked for my partners.
- 19 | Q. And what was the purpose of this change?
- 20 A. Just to keep the beneficial owner's name off of this
- 21 | document.
- 22 | Q. To what end? Why? Why?
- 23 A. Again, for privacy reasons.
- 24 MR. SCOTTEN: Can we just highlight the top matter,
- 25 above services.

- 1 | Q. Who is this agreement between?
- A. It's between Privatech Group and Silver State Business
 Administrators Inc.

MR. SCOTTEN: And could we please, very briefly, get ready to show 1736, and could you just highlight the company legal name and d/b/a name.

- Q. Mr. Fontano, do you see a doing-business-as name --
- A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

- 9 Q. -- for Silver State Business?

 10 And if I could ask you to read it?
- 11 A. United Cash Loans.

MR. SCOTTEN: OK. We can take that down and go back to 2108. Can you please highlight the paragraph beginning third-party shareholders.

- 15 | Q. And what are we looking at here, Mr. Fontano?
- A. Well, again, this is the third-party shareholders portion
 of the agreement where the third-party shareholders, or nominee
 shareholders, are provided.
- 19 Q. And sir, have you reviewed this document before testifying 20 today?
- 21 A. I've seen it before, yeah.
- Q. Is it in any other significant ways, other than dropping
 the beneficial owner's name, different than the previous
 document we looked at?
- 25 A. Not really.

- 1 MR. SCOTTEN: And could we just go to the last page.
- 2 And if we can just blow up sort of the whole signature matter.
- 3 | Q. Sir, do you have an understanding of who the beneficial
- 4 | owner was for Silver State Business Administrators?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 0. Who is that?
- 7 A. It was Scott Tucker.
- 8 | Q. And do you see anything here that confirms that for you?
- 9 A. Well, the same mailing address, the same email address and phone numbers as the other agreement.
- MR. SCOTTEN: All right. We can take that down.
- 12 | Q. And now I'm going to hand you what is marked as 2107, and
- 13 | if you could please again just take a look at it and tell me if
- 14 you recognize it.
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
- 16 | O. And what is it?
- 17 | A. It is a specific power of attorney.
- 18 | Q. And is it between -- well, who are the parties to this
- 19 | specific power of attorney?
- 20 | A. There's actually three parties, Silver State Business
- 21 | Administrators Inc. and CB Service Corp. and Scott Tucker.
- 22 MR. SCOTTEN: The government offers 2107.
- MR. ROTH: No objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Received.
- 25 (Government Exhibit 2107 received in evidence)

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

- 1 MR. SCOTTEN: If we could actually start with the 2 second paragraph.
 - Q. All right. Can you summarize what this specific power of attorney does?
 - A. Basically, it gives the agent or attorney in fact full power and authority to act "on my behalf" as the president or other officers of both of those corporations.
 - Q. Do you see those corporation names here?
- 9 A. Yes. Silver State Business Administrators and CB Service
 10 Corp.
- MR. SCOTTEN: Can we now go up to the first, very short paragraph.
 - Q. So who here is appointed the attorney or agent of these corporations?
- 15 A. Scott Tucker.
- Q. If Scott Tucker is both a beneficial owner of these corporations and their agent, did you have any control or authority over these corporations at all?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 | Q. What purpose did your involvement serve?
- A. Basically, my -- my only purpose here would be to provide my name on the public record.
- Q. So all these documents, other than getting your name on the public record, did they serve any purpose?
 - A. With, with the power of attorney, not that I can think of.

1 | Q. All right. I'm going to show you what's marked as

- 2 | Government Exhibit 2105, and please just again take a look and
- 3 | tell me if you recognize it.
- 4 | A. Yes, I do.
- $5 \parallel Q$. What is it?
- A. It is, again, it's a nominee agreement between Privatech
- 7 | Group and Executive Global Management Inc.
- 8 MR. SCOTTEN: Your Honor, the government offers 2105.
- 9 MR. ROTH: No objection.
- 10 | THE COURT: Received.
- 11 (Government Exhibit 2105 received in evidence)
- 12 | Q. Mr. Fontano, you said this was with Executive Global
- 13 | Management Inc.?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- MR. SCOTTEN: Can we very briefly show 1735. And you
- 16 can either highlight a little bit more -- see where the line
- 17 goes up to the company legal name? Perfect.
- 18 | Q. And Mr. Fontano, do you see a doing-business-as name here
- 19 | for Executive Global Management?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 \parallel Q. And what is it?
- 22 | A. Preferred Cash.
- MR. SCOTTEN: Let's go back to 2105.
- 24 | Q. Sir, is there any significant difference between this
- 25 document and the previous document we looked at for Silver

- 1 | State Business Administrators?
- 2 A. Only the name of the client.
- 3 MR. SCOTTEN: And can we just go ahead to the last
- 4 page. If you could highlight that and blow it up, that would
- 5 be great.
- 6 Q. Mr. Fontano, looking at this, do you have an understanding
- 7 of who the beneficial owner of Executive Global Management was?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 | Q. Who was that?
- 10 | A. Scott Tucker.
- 11 Q. And how do you know that?
- 12 A. Again, the postal address, the phone numbers and the email
- 13 address.
- MR. SCOTTEN: All right, if we could take that down,
- 15 and could we show 2112.
- 16 | Q. We're just going to show you 2112 on your monitor. Sir, do
- 17 | you recognize Government Exhibit 2112?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. What is it?
- 20 A. It is a, it's an appointment of an agent plenipotentiary
- 21 | for Executive Global Management Inc.
- 22 | THE COURT: Plenipotentiary, is that what you said?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you.
- MR. SCOTTEN: Your Honor, the government offers 2112.

1 MR. ROTH: No objection.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

THE COURT: Received.

(Government Exhibit 2112 received in evidence)

MR. SCOTTEN: Ms. Grant, I think we want the whole thing on here, but if you could, blow up just the portion with text on it so it will be easier to read.

- Q. Mr. Fontano, what does the appointment of an agent plenipotentiary do?
- A. From a technical standpoint, it's very much like giving a power of attorney, but here it's just creating the position of an agent and allowing them certain things that they can do in the name of the corporation.
- Q. And who is being appointed an agent plenipotentiary of Executive Global Management here?
- 15 A. Scott Tucker.
 - Q. Is this substantively different from the specific power of attorney we saw before for Silver State Business Administrators and CB Services?
- 19 A. Technically it's the same thing.
- Q. So again, sir, if Scott Tucker is both the beneficial owner and agent plenipotentiary of Executive Global Management, what purpose are you serving?
- 23 | A. I'm only on the public record for privacy purposes.
- Q. And other than these three companies we've gone through today, did you serve as a nominee officer for any other

- 1 corporations in which Scott Tucker was the beneficial owner?
- 2 A. I believe that there were several companies.
- 3 | Q. Do you remember all of their names?
- 4 | A. I don't.
- Q. I'm going to show you Exhibit 3521-04. Sir, can you just
- 6 read this document to yourself and look up when you're done
- 7 reading it.
- 8 Does that refresh your recollection as to the name of any
- 9 other corporations that you acted as nominee for and were owned
- 10 | by Scott Tucker?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And what's the name of that company?
- 13 A. That one is Pinion Management.
- 14 | Q. Do you remember about how long you acted as a nominee for
- 15 | Scott Tucker's corporations?
- 16 A. If my recollection is correct, probably about three years,
- 17 maybe four years.
- 18 Q. So around when do you remember stopping doing so?
- 19 | A. Around 2005.
- 20 | Q. And after you stopped acting in that capacity, did you have
- 21 any further dealings concerning Mr. Tucker's businesses?
- 22 A. Not that I recall.
- 23 | Q. Were you ever contacted by someone based on having been
- 24 | involved with those businesses?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. What happened?
- 2 A. I was contacted by the state of Colorado with regards to a
- 3 | legal proceeding that I was supposed to appear at, that I had
- 4 | failed to appear because I wasn't aware of it.
- 5 | Q. Sir, do you remember the name of the person from the state
- 6 of Colorado who contacted you, asked you to come appear?
- 7 A. I believe his name was Paul Chessin.
- 8 Q. And did you, in fact, meet with Mr. Chessin?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 | Q. I'm going to show you what has been marked as Government
- 11 | Exhibit 2113. We'll put it up on the screen, but I'll also
- 12 | hand you copies so you can see all the pages.
- 13 Sir, do you recognize 2113?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 \parallel Q. What is it?
- 16 A. It is an affidavit of James Fontano in support of a motion
- 17 | to quash subpoena.
- 18 Q. When did you first see this affidavit, Mr. Fontano?
- 19 A. I first saw it when Mr. Chessin, from the -- I believe he
- 20 was with the state attorney general's office in Colorado,
- 21 showed it to me.
- 22 | Q. And do you purport in this affidavit to be president of a
- 23 | business?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. What's the name of that business?

- 1 A. Cash Advance.
- 2 MR. SCOTTEN: Your Honor, the government offers 2113.
- 3 MR. ROTH: No objection.
- 4 THE COURT: Received.
- 5 (Government Exhibit 2113 received in evidence)
- 6 MR. SCOTTEN: And let's actually start at the back
- 7 page.
- 8 Q. Sir, do you see a signature block that says James Fontano?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And the date next to it -- or right above it, I should say?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Did you, in fact, sign this affidavit on the 29th of
- 13 | September 2003?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. Did you, in fact, sign this affidavit at any point in time?
- 16 | A. No.
- 17 | Q. Had you ever seen it before Mr. Chessin handed it to you?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 MR. SCOTTEN: Could we go back to the first page, and
- 20 | if you could just blow up paragraph 1, including the -- great.
- 21 | Q. Sir, if you can just briefly read that paragraph to
- 22 | yourself and look up when you're done.
- 23 Did you, in fact, have personal knowledge of Cash Advance's
- 24 | business?
- 25 A. No.

1 Q. Or the truth of the matters in the affidavit?

- 2 | A. No.
- 3 Q. Were you prepared to competently testify about the
- 4 statements in this affidavit?
- 5 | A. No.
- 6 MR. SCOTTEN: Can we go to the second paragraph.
- Q. Sir, do you, in fact, know whether any of the statements in this paragraph are true?
- 9 A. Not to my knowledge.
 - Q. Let me be clear. You're not saying they're lies?
- 11 A. No, I just -- I have no idea.
- MR. SCOTTEN: Could we go to the third paragraph,
- 13 please.

- 14 Q. Same question, Mr. Fontano, do you know whether any
- 15 statements in this paragraph are true?
- 16 A. I don't know.
- 17 | Q. Were you prepared to testify to them?
- 18 A. No.
- MR. SCOTTEN: And then finally, if we can highlight
- 20 the last two sentences on the page, beginning paragraph 4. And
- 21 on to the final page, and again highlight now the last two
- 22 sentences in that paragraph.
- 23 | Q. And again, sir, do you have any idea of whether these
- 24 statements were true or whether you could testify to them?
- 25 | A. I -- I don't know.

H9kWtuc1 Fontano - Cross

1 | Q. Sir, do you know who signed this document?

A. I don't.

- 3 MR. SCOTTEN: Nothing further, your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination.
- 5 MR. ROTH: Thank you, your Honor.
- 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 7 BY MR. ROTH:
- 8 Q. Good morning, Mr. Fontano.
- 9 A. Good morning.
- 10 | Q. How long ago did you retire, sir?
- 11 A. Approximately five years ago.
- 12 | Q. And how long were you operating Privatech, sir?
- 13 | A. I'm sorry?
- 14 | Q. How long were you operating Privatech, your company, for?
- 15 A. The company was actually owned and operated for about 20
- 16 years.
- 17 | Q. And you personally were involved for a period of time?
- 18 A. Yes, most of that time.
- 19 Q. OK. And would it be fair to say, sir, that you'd
- 20 | characterize it as a service industry?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And you were providing a service for corporations and
- 23 | individuals, is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Sometimes wealthy individuals?

Fontano - Cross

H9kWtuc1 1 Α. I -- yes. That wanted to protect their assets or for security 2 3 reasons, is that fair to say? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And corporations, to protect their identity, to protect themselves from lawsuits, for instance, is that correct? 6 7 A. Yes. Q. And in some instances, for both corporations and 8 9 individuals, for tax minimization purposes, is that correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And over the course of time that you were involved with 12 Privatech, sir, how many corporations did you represent, or 13 individuals, if you can estimate? 14 A. I believe at one time it was in the neighborhood of 750, 780. 15 16 (Continued on next page) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

- Q. And is that at any given time over the course of say a year that you had 700, 750 corporations?
 - A. No, that was probably during the 20 years.
- Q. OK. Sir, it would not be unusual for you to have a relationship, a client that had more than one corporation that
- 6 you were servicing, is that fair to say?
- 7 A. Yes.

- Q. And Mr. Tucker, the number of corporations he had, there
 was nothing unusual about that, is that fair to say?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Sir, is it fair to say that everything that you did at
- 12 Privatech you did in accordance with the laws, is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. In fact, I believe you just testified that you had an
- 15 attorney who oversaw matters, is that correct?
- 16 A. He wasn't my attorney, but he was an attorney of my
- partner's who looked at a lot of things that we did.
- 18 Q. If you had a question about something, whether it was
- 19 | lawful or how best to do it, for instance, the contract would
- 20 | be revised in accordance with what the lawyer said, is that
- 21 | correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. How many employees, sir, at the height of your operation
- 24 | did you have, sir?
- 25 | A. I believe 13.

- Q. When you were interfacing or speaking, interacting with the
- 2 corporations that you represented, were there many times when
- 3 you spoke with their attorneys as opposed to the individuals
- 4 | themselves?
- 5 A. That happened on occasion, yes.
- 6 Q. And you were called upon, were you not, on more than one
- 7 | occasion to pass resolutions on behalf of the corporations, is
- 8 | that fair to say?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And you did that in your capacity as a nominee officer, is
- 11 | that correct?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. You indicated, sir, that in respect to Government Exhibit
- 14 | 2113 -- do you have that still in front of you, sir?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. That's a statement that you said you did not make, is that
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 | Q. By the way, is that an affidavit, was that sworn before a
- 20 | notary?
- 21 A. I don't see one on there.
- 22 | Q. Is it fair to say, sir, that -- withdrawn.
- 23 Is it fair to say, sir, that people in your office in
- 24 | Privatech had your signature stamp, a facsimile of your
- 25 | signature?

H9K8TUC2

Fontano - Cross

- 1 A. There were times that that was used, yes.
- 2 | Q. My first question is, did they have your signature, a block
- 3 of your signature?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. When you say there were times that that was used, was that
- 6 used for convenience when say you were out of town or on
- 7 | vacation or on a business trip?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Besides the employees in Privatech, did anyone else have
- 10 your signature block, for instance, any of the related
- 11 | companies?
- 12 | A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 13 | Q. Did somebody at Laughlin, which was a related corporation,
- 14 have a stamp?
- 15 A. I don't believe they did.
- MR. ROTH: I would ask that the witness just be shown
- 17 | 3521-04, page 112.
- 18 Q. I ask you to read that to yourself at the bottom there,
- 19 | sir, and see if that refreshes your recollection.
- 20 Does that refresh your recollection now, sir, that somebody
- 21 | in the Laughlin group had your signature stamp?
- 22 A. Yes, it looks like they did.
- 23 Q. Sir, is it also fair to say, sir, that there would be
- 24 people who had access to your signature stamp that signed
- 25 documents without consulting you first from time to time, not

1 | as a regular matter, but from time to time?

A. Not that I'm aware of.

MR. ROTH: I would ask that the witness be shown in the same 3500 series, page 113 at the top. The second question -- if you could highlight that Eli -- and the answer that follows that.

Blow that up, and as well the next.

- Q. Take a moment and read that, sir.
- A. OK.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Now, does that refresh your recollection whether on occasion, on occasion, people signed your name without your authority?
- A. According to that --

THE COURT: No, no. Sir, the question is, take a look at it, read it. Then put it aside and ask yourself the question, having read that, do you now have a new and refreshed recollection on the subject. That's what you're being asked.

So read it, take a look at it, think about it, and do you have a new and refreshed recollection? The answer is yes, you do; no, you don't.

- A. I guess I'd have to say yes.
- Q. Thank you, sir.
- 23 MR. ROTH: No further questions.
- 24 THE COURT: Any further cross?
- MR. BATH: No, sir. Thank you.

- 1 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 2 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MR. SCOTTEN:
- 4 | Q. Mr. Fontano, do you still have that signature page in front
- 5 of you --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} . -- on that affidavit?
- 8 Is that your signature?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 | Q. How do you know it's not your signature?
- 11 A. Because it doesn't look anything like my signature.
- 12 | Q. Did you have any signature stamps that had signatures that
- 13 | didn't look anything like your signature?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. So is there any chance that's a signature stamp you had or
- 16 authorized somebody to use?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. To be clear, how common was it in your business to find out
- 19 | that someone signed a false court affidavit in your name?
- 20 A. It was not common at all.
- 21 MR. SCOTTEN: No further questions.
- 22 | THE COURT: Sir, you may step down. Thank you.
- 23 (Witness excused)
- 24 THE COURT: Government may call its next witness.
- 25 MR. SCOTTEN: The government offers Exhibit 2109.

1	THE COURT: Any objection?
2	MR. ROTH: No objection, Judge.
3	THE COURT: Received.
4	(Government's Exhibit 2109 received in evidence)
5	MR. SCOTTEN: Would you publish it to the jury.
6	Blow up everything above the signature block to make
7	it easier to read.
8	Ms. Grant, I would ask you to highlight who this
9	message is from and who it is to.
10	Ms. Grant, if you see the name Chessin anywhere in
11	there, you can highlight it.
12	If we can remove those highlights, just highlight the
13	last sentence in the main paragraph beginning "bad news."
14	All right. We can take that down.
15	Your Honor, the government also offers 2111.
16	MR. ROTH: No objection, Judge.
17	THE COURT: Received.
18	(Government's Exhibit 2111 received in evidence)
19	MR. SCOTTEN: And if we can start by blowing up the
20	top half.
21	Ms. Grant, can I ask you to highlight both the cc and
22	the subject.
23	We can zoom back out and I am just going to ask you to
24	zoom in on a couple of names so the jury can see them.
25	Can you start with CB Services Corporation.

Irby - direct

H9K8TUC2 1 Can we also do NM Service Corp. 2 Above that, zoom in on Executive Global Management. 3 Then at the bottom of the page, Pinion Management. 4 We can go to the second page. 5 There, Silver State Administrators. 6 And Universal Management Services. 7 Then finally, can you just highlight the address and the words "overnight to." 8 9 MR. VELAMOOR: The government calls Alton Irby. 10 ALTON IRBY, 11 called as a witness by the government, 12 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 THE DEPUTY CLERK: State your name and spell it for 14 the record, please. 15 THE WITNESS: Alton Irby. A-L-T-O-N, I-R-B-Y. 16 THE COURT: You may inquire. 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. VELAMOOR: 18 19 Good morning, Mr. Irby. 20

- - Good morning. Α.
- How old are you, sir? 21 Q.
- 22 Α. I'm 77.
- How far did you go in school? 23
- 24 How far did I? Α.
- 25 Go in school.

- 1 A. I have got a bachelor's degree from Georgia Institute of
- 2 | Technology.
- 3 | Q. When did you get that degree?
- 4 A. I graduated in 1962.
- 5 | Q. What did you do after you graduated?
- 6 A. I joined the U.S. Marine Corp.
- 7 Q. After you finished your service, what kind of work did you
- 8 do next?
- 9 A. I was an insurance broker in Atlanta, Georgia.
- 10 | Q. Are you familiar with a company called London Bay Capital?
- 11 | A. I am.
- 12 | Q. How are you familiar with it?
- 13 A. I formed London Bay Capital in early 2007 with two
- 14 partners.
- 15 | Q. Who were the two partners you formed it with?
- 16 A. Sam Humphreys and Douglas Tulley.
- 17 | Q. What kind of business is London Bay Capital?
- 18 A. It's a private equity investing firm.
- 19 Q. When you say private equity, what do you mean?
- 20 A. On behalf of ourselves and other investors we invest money
- 21 | in companies. We buy companies and operate them and eventually
- 22 sell them.
- 23 | Q. As part of your work at London Bay Capital, are you
- 24 | familiar with a company called Selling Source?
- 25 | A. I am.

H9K8TUC2 Irby - direct

- 1 Q. How did you first hear about this company?
- 2 A. I heard about Selling Source in 2006 from my partner Sam
- 3 Humphreys.
- 4 Q. At that time what did you know about Selling Source?
- 5 A. That it was in the business of producing leads for subprime
- 6 | lenders, payday lenders.
- 7 | Q. Do you know whose company it was at the time?
- 8 A. I learned at the time that it was owned principally by two
- 9 people, Scott Tucker and Derek LaFavor.
- 10 Q. When you heard about it from Sam Humphreys, your partner,
- 11 were you and he at London Bay interested in acquiring Selling
- 12 | Source?
- 13 A. He mentioned to me as a potential acquisition, yes.
- 14 | Q. Did you have further discussions about a possible
- 15 | acquisition of Selling Source?
- 16 A. We did.
- 17 | Q. Did you ultimately in fact buy it?
- 18 A. We in fact did buy it.
- 19 Q. Before we talk about that purchase, tell me a little bit
- 20 more about Selling Source. Were there different components to
- 21 | that business?
- 22 | A. The principal components of the business was what we call
- 23 | lead generation, and that was done by the principal's
- 24 subsidiary called PartnerWeekly. It was in the business of
- 25 procuring customers for subprime lenders, mainly online, on the

H9K8TUC2 Irby - direct

- 1 Internet. It had a second business that was called DataX.
- 2 | Q. Before you talk about DataX, when you say procure lenders,
- 3 | in essence, did PartnerWeekly find possible or potential payday
- 4 | borrowers and find them on behalf of the payday lenders?
- 5 A. Yes. We found potential borrowers and we then sold those
- 6 leads or those applications for loans to a number of payday
- 7 | lenders.
- 8 Q. Now, you mentioned PartnerWeekly. You were about to talk
- 9 about DataX.
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. What was DataX?
- 12 A. DataX was a newly-formed subsidiary that was a credit
- 13 reporting agency.
- 14 Q. When you said "credit reporting," what do you mean?
- 15 | A. It validated the credit of potential borrowers.
- 16 | Q. Is it sort of like an Equifax or --
- 17 A. Much smaller, but yes.
- 18 Q. Are you familiar with something called eCash?
- 19 A. Yes, I am.
- 20 | Q. Was that also part of Selling Source?
- 21 A. It was. It was a software program that was used to process
- 22 | the leads that we sold to lenders.
- 23 | Q. So now you testified before that London Bay Capital
- 24 | ultimately purchased Selling Source, right?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. And again, who did London Bay Capital purchase Selling
- 2 | Source from?
- 3 A. The principal owners of Selling Source was Scott Tucker and
- 4 Derek LaFavor.

- 5 | Q. How much, approximately, did London Bay Capital pay Tucker
- 6 and LaFavor for Selling Source?
- 7 A. It was approximately \$90 million.
 - Q. Was all of that cash or were there other components?
- 9 A. Roughly 60 million in cash and 30 million in notes.
- 10 Q. When you say "notes," what do you mean?
- 11 | A. That was debt that was owed to Tucker and LaFavor over a
- 12 period of years.
- 13 | Q. As far as you recall, was the 90 million split roughly
- 14 | equally between Mr. Tucker and Mr. LaFavor?
- 15 \parallel A. As far as I know, yes.
- 16 | Q. Let me show you what has been marked as Government Exhibit
- 17 | 2801. The pages will also come up on your screen.
- Do you recognize 2801?
- 19 | A. I do.
- 20 | 0. What is it?
- 21 A. It is the sale and purchase agreement for the acquisition
- 22 | of Selling Source by London Bay Capital.
- 23 MR. VELAMOOR: The government offers 2801.
- MR. BATH: No objection.
- 25 THE COURT: Received.

H9K8TUC2 Irby - direct

1 (Government's Exhibit 2801 received in evidence)

- 2 BY MR. VELAMOOR:
- Q. Mr. Irby, this is a very long agreement and we are certainly not going to go read all of it or go over it.

Specific pages will come up on the screen next to you. So it may be easier for you to just focus on the screen.

Why don't we go first to publish the cover page.

There are various entities mentioned there. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Again, there are many individuals as well as entities. But in general terms, is this, generally speaking, your purchase by London Bay from Tucker and LaFavor and various entities associated with those two individuals?
- A. And some other individuals, yes.
- Q. Why don't we turn to the sixth page, LB6 on the bottom.

 Again, is this essentially, after the table of contents,

 the first page of the agreement?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- Q. Do you see Scott Tucker mentioned there in the first paragraph, around the fifth or sixth line from the bottom?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 MR. VELAMOOR: Just highlight that as well.
- 24 | Q. Do you also see a company called Black Creek Capital?
- 25 A. I do.

1 Q. Why don't we turn to the ninth page of the PDF.

The second line from the bottom, it says, "CLK."

- 3 Do you see that?
- 4 A. I do.
 - Q. Were you familiar with a company named CLK?
- 6 | A. I am.

- 7 | Q. What was CLK?
- 8 A. CLK was the principal lender that we sold leads to.
- 9 Q. Was that the principal lender at the time London Bay
- 10 | acquired Selling Source?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 | Q. Whose company was CLK?
- 13 A. I believe it was Scott Tucker's company.
- MR. VELAMOOR: I think we are highlighting the wrong
- 15 | line.
- 16 Q. At the time that London Bay acquired Selling Source, you
- 17 | said that CLK was the principal lender.
- When you say principal, approximately how much of Selling
- 19 | Source's leads were being sold to CLK?
- 20 A. I suspect, I believe, about 50 percent of the revenue was
- 21 | derived from CLK.
- $22 \parallel Q$. Was there a provision that was part of this overall
- 23 | acquisition agreement to ensure that CLK continued to be a
- 24 customer of Selling Source even after London Bay bought it?
- 25 A. Yes. As part of the purchase agreement, we ensured that we

- had a ten-year exclusive contract with CLK to sell leads to
- 2 | them, for them to buy leads from us.
- 3 | Q. When you say "exclusive contract"?
- 4 A. Selling Source.
- 5 | Q. Who is obligated to do what under this agreement?
- A. CLK was obligated to buy leads from Selling Source for ten years.
- Q. Why was that important to London Bay to include as part of this agreement?
 - A. Because it was 50 percent of the revenue of the business we were buying, and the owner of the business that we were buying also owned CLK.
- Q. So this paragraph we are highlighting mentions that CLK at the meeting defined in a different section of the agreement,

 4.12(e).
- 16 Do you see that?
- 17 | A. I do.

10

11

- MR. VELAMOOR: Let's turn to the 36th page of the PDF.
- Can we highlight the paragraph (e) and also just the heading of the next one.
- 21 | Q. Do you see that paragraph there?
- 22 | A. I do.
- 23 | Q. Can you read that paragraph.
- 24 A. "The Master Services Agreement among Red Rock Colocation
- 25 | Solutions, LLC and CB Services Corporation, Global Management,

1 | Inc., National Money Services, Silver State Business

- 2 Administrators, Inc., and Universal Management Services, Inc.
- 3 (collectively, such entities are referred to as "CLK") requires
- 4 and will require for the ten-year period following the date of
- 5 this agreement CLK to purchase all of its online leads from
- 6 buyer sub and its affiliates, consistent with past practice
- 7 among CLK, sellers and the predecessors."
- 8 | Q. Now, in simple terms, is this part of the agreement that
- 9 essentially reflects the exclusive agreement you just
- 10 | discussed?
- 11 | A. It is.
- 12 | Q. This paragraph I believe defines the term CLK to include
- 13 several entities.
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 | Q. Are those entities CB Services Corp., Global Management,
- 16 | National Money Service, Silver State Business Administrators,
- 17 | and Universal Management Services?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 MR. VELAMOOR: Why don't we turn to the 107th page of
- 20 | the PDF. Let's start by highlighting the (a) section at the
- 21 | top.
- 22 | Q. What does this section indicate?
- 23 | A. This is a description of the percentage of total revenues
- 24 | that were reflected for these customers.
- 25 Q. The customers are CB Services, d/b/a Ameriloan.

- 1 Do you see that?
- 2 A. That's right.
- 3 Q. Silver State Business Administrators is d/b/a United Cash
- 4 Loans?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Executive Global Management, d/b/a says One Click Cash.
- 7 Do you see that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Also Universal Management Services?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. NM Services, Inc., d/b/a 500 FastCash?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. It also mentions Check Giant, TC Financial, and MTE
- 14 Financial Services.
- 15 Do you see that?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 | Q. The biggest buy, I guess in terms of potential revenue, are
- 18 CB Services, Silver State, Executive, Universal and NM,
- 19 | correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 MR. VELAMOOR: Can we turn to the 115th page of the
- 22 exhibit.
- 23 | Q. Can you read the title and the top paragraph, please.
- 24 A. "Schedule 4.28, Affiliate and Related Party Transactions.
- 25 "Scott Tucker owns and/or controls Executive Global

- 1 Management, Inc., NM Service Corp., CB Services Corporation,
- 2 | Silver State Business Administrators, Inc., and Universal
- 3 Management Services, Inc. These companies represent sellers,
- 4 | the largest customers.
- 5 "WebYes, LLC" --
- 6 Q. Just the top paragraph is fine.
- Are those entities you mentioned the entities that are subject to the ten-year exclusive agreement?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- MR. VELAMOOR: Let's turn to the 71st page of this
- 11 | PDF.
- 12 | Q. Can you make out who signed at the bottom?
- 13 | A. It says Scott Tucker.
- MR. VELAMOOR: We can take that down.
- Q. Mr. Irby, around the time of this acquisition agreement we
- 16 | just discussed, were you aware of any other agreement that also
- 17 | memorializes this ten-year exclusive agreement?
- 18 A. There would have been a master services agreement.
- 19 Q. I am going to show you what has been marked as 2802,
- 20 Government Exhibit 2802.
- 21 Have you had a chance to look at 2802?
- 22 | A. I have.
- 23 | 0. What is it?
- 24 A. It's a master services agreement.
- MR. VELAMOOR: Your Honor, the government offers 2802.

1 MR. BATH: No objection. THE COURT: Received. 2 (Government's Exhibit 2802 received in evidence) 3 4 MR. VELAMOOR: Can we just highlight the top 5 paragraph, please. "The Master Services Agreement ('Agreement') is made as of 6 7 the effective date specified at the end of this agreement by and between the Selling Source, Inc., a Nevada corporation 8 9 having a principal place of business at 325 East Warm Springs, 10 Suite 200, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (together" --11 You can skip the paren. 12 Α. OK. 13 "(Together with its subsidiaries and affiliates, 14 hereinafter referred to as 'the Company') and CB Services 15 Corporation, Executive Global Management, Inc., NM Services Corporation, Silver State Business Administrators, Inc., 16 17 Universal Management Services, Inc., CLK Management and other entities which are or may become affiliated with CLK Management 18 or Mr. Scott Tucker (collectively 'Customer')." 19 20 Q. Do you understand this to be essentially the agreement 21 provided for the ten-year exclusive customer relationship for 22 the CLK-related entities? Α. That's correct.

- 23
- 24 To your knowledge, when was this agreement effective? 0.
- 25 It would have been effective at the time of the sale and Α.

- 1 purchase agreement.
- 2 | Q. Which is the agreement we just looked at before?
- $3 \parallel A. Sorry?$
- 4 | Q. That's the agreement we just went through?
- $5 \parallel A.$ Exactly.
- 6 MR. SCOTTEN: Can we put back up 2801. Just highlight
 7 the date on the bottom of the first page.
- 8 A. December 21, 2007.
- 9 Q. So both of these agreements would have been effective
- 10 | December 21, 2007?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 | Q. Let's go back to 2802, to the eighth page.
- Who signed this agreement?
- 14 A. Derek LaFavor and Scott Tucker.
- 15 MR. VELAMOOR: We can take that down.
- 16 | Q. Are you familiar with a company called Red River Ventures?
- 17 | A. I am.
- 18 | Q. Briefly, what was that company?
- 19 A. Red River Ventures was a newly formed company that became a
- 20 customer of Selling Source. It was a lender, a payday lender.
- 21 | Q. Did you at a certain point hear a problem or an issue
- 22 | relating to that company?
- 23 | A. I did.
- 24 Q. Who did you hear that from?
- 25 A. I believe Tim Muir called my partner Sam Humphreys.

- 1 | Q. To say what?
- 2 A. To say that his client had discovered, AMG had discovered
- 3 | that Red River Ventures was stealing customer information from
- 4 | Selling Source's computer systems and that those customers were
- 5 customers of AMG but that Red River were reselling those
- 6 customers to other lenders.
- 7 | Q. You said Tim Muir --
- 8 A. I beg your pardon. Not reselling. Red River was lending
- 9 money to those customers.
- 10 | Q. Red River, at least the claim, was lending money to people
- 11 | who came from leads that Mr. Muir believed belonged to AMG?
- 12 A. We, Selling Source, had sold leads to AMG, but these leads
- 13 were being siphoned out of our IT system and loans were being
- 14 made to those leads by Red River.
- 15 Q. You said Mr. Muir. Who is Mr. Muir as far as you knew at
- 16 | that point?
- 17 A. I believe he was the lawyer to AMG Services and Mr. Tucker.
- 18 Q. OK. After you heard this information, what did you do
- 19 | next?
- 20 A. They asked us to investigate to see if that was correct.
- 21 | Q. Who is "they"?
- 22 A. Mr. Muir acting on behalf of AMG.
- 23 | Q. Did you take any steps?
- 24 A. Yes. We had a private investigator and we had a lawyer in
- 25 | San Francisco.

- Q. Did you, after consulting with the lawyer, participate in any kind of legal steps?
- A. Yes. The lawyer advised, because this information was
 being held on servers owned by Red River, the lawyer and
 private investigator recommended that we execute a civil search
- 6 warrant to effectively capture those servers and determine what
- 7 information was resident there.
- Q. In order to get that civil search warrant, did you have to sign any kind of legal document?
- 10 A. Our lawyer prepared a declaration for me to sign which was
 11 used to present to the court in Las Vegas to obtain a federal
 12 search warrant.
- 13 Q. Did you take a look at and then sign that affidavit?
- 14 A. Yes. It was done on a Friday so that it could be served over the weekend.
- Q. Let me show you what has been marked as 2803.

 Have you had a chance to look at 2803?
- 18 | A. I have.
- 19 | Q. What is it?
- 20 A. It is a declaration.
- 21 || Q. Is it yours?
- 22 A. It's my declaration that I made, yes.
- 23 | Q. Did you sign it?
- 24 | A. I did.
- MR. VELAMOOR: The government offers 2803.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

MR. BATH: No objection.

2 THE COURT: Received.

(Government's Exhibit 2803 received in evidence)

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ VELAMOOR: Can we turn to the second page, please, and the fourth paragraph.

- BY MR. VELAMOOR:
 - Q. Can you read what you said in that paragraph?
- A. "Selling Source largest customer is AMG, to whom it provides many services, principally the sale of consumer leads.
- 10 The leads sold to AMG are exclusive and proprietary to AMG as
- 11 | well as to Selling Source. London Bay works consistently with
- 12 | the owner of AMG to help manage its relationship with Selling
- 13 | Source. In 2008, AMG paid over \$80 million to Selling Source."
- 14 Q. When you said there the owner of AMG, who were you
- 15 | referring to?
- 16 A. In this declaration, I was referring to Scott Tucker.
- 17 | Q. It says there that in 2008 AMG paid over 80 million to
- 18 | Selling Source. Is that about how much Selling Source got from
- 19 | AMG in 2008?
- 20 A. That was what we received from AMG, yes.
- 21 Q. Let's turn to the next page, paragraph 8.
- 22 Can you read what you wrote there?
- 23 A. "On Sunday, July 12, I met with Scott Tucker, the owner and
- 24 | principal manager of AMG, Selling Source's largest customer.
- 25 There were others at our meeting from Selling Source, London

- 1 | Bay and AMG, including Selling Source's security adviser, Steve
- 2 | Gudelj. Selling Source is in contact with AMG to provide leads
- 3 under a master services agreement, which was entered into
- 4 | initially with other entities controlled by Scott Tucker and
- 5 which has been expanded to include AMG as a party to the master
- 6 services agreement."
- 7 Q. When you signed this, did you believe Mr. Tucker was owner
- 8 and principal manager of AMG?
- 9 A. Actually he was, yes.
- 10 Q. Why did you assume he was?
- 11 A. Well, the original customer of ours was CLK. At some
- 12 point, after 2007, CLK changed its name to AMG, and so I
- 13 assumed the ownership remained the same.
- 14 | Q. Did anything about Selling Source's or your interactions
- 15 | with AMG change after that name change?
- 16 A. Not that I was aware of.
- 17 | Q. Anything about your dealings with Mr. Tucker change after
- 18 | that name change?
- 19 | A. Not that I was aware of.
- 20 | Q. Now after you filed this declaration, what happened next?
- 21 | A. We filed the declaration with the court in Nevada and the
- 22 | next day after filing the declaration, my partner Sam Humphreys
- 23 | received a call from Tim Muir, counsel to Mr. Tucker, who said
- 24 | that the declaration was not accurate and that they wished me
- 25 | to amend the declaration.

1 | Q. Did Mr. Muir say which parts he thought were inaccurate?

- A. He said AMG was not owned --
- MR. BATH: Objection. I think the witness is talking
- 4 about what Humphreys told him. As long as we make that
- 5 | clarification. I don't think he talked to Mr. Muir directly.

THE COURT: Did you talk to Mr. Muir directly?

THE WITNESS: I did not.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

You want to finish your answer?

- 10 A. Repeat the question, please.
- 11 Q. According to Mr. Humphreys, what did Mr. Muir believe was
- 12 | inaccurate in your declaration?
- 13 A. According to Mr. Humphreys, Mr. Muir said AMG was not owned
- 14 by Mr. Tucker.

2

6

7

8

- 15 | Q. Were you asked to take any steps?
- 16 | A. I was asked to change the declaration, to amend the
- 17 declaration to reflect that fact.
- 18 | Q. Did you in fact agree to do that?
- 19 A. I did.
- 20 | Q. Did that ultimately happen?
- 21 A. It did happen.
- 22 | Q. Was it changed or was some other step taken?
- 23 A. The paragraphs that referred to Mr. Tucker as owner of AMG
- 24 were redacted from the declaration and it was refiled.
- 25 | Q. I will show you what has been marked as 2804.

1 Have you had a chance to look at 2804?

A. I have.

2

- 3 | Q. What is 2804?
 - A. It is the amended declaration.
- 5 MR. VELAMOOR: Your Honor, the government offers 2804.
- 6 MR. BATH: No objection.
- 7 THE COURT: Received.
- 8 (Government's Exhibit 2804 received in evidence)
- 9 MR. VELAMOOR: Can we just turn to the second page,
- 10 please.
- 11 BY MR. VELAMOOR:
- 12 | Q. Does paragraph 4 appear anymore in this version of the
- 13 | affidavit?
- 14 A. No, it's been redacted.
- 15 \parallel Q. Is that one of the paragraphs we just read from the
- 16 previous version?
- 17 | A. It is.
- 18 | Q. Let's turn to the next page.
- 19 Paragraph 8, does that paragraph appear in this version of
- 20 | the affidavit?
- 21 A. It's also been redacted.
- 22 | Q. Again, is that also one of the paragraphs we just looked
- 23 | at?
- 24 A. It is.
- 25 | Q. I am going to show you what has been marked as 2805.

1 Have you had a chance to look at 2805?

A. I have.

2

- Q. What is it?
- 4 A. It is an e-mail from Tim Muir sent to Scott Tucker and
- 5 | Blaine Tucker.
- 6 Q. Is that an e-mail forward of an earlier e-mail?
- 7 | A. It is.
- 8 | Q. What does the earlier e-mail pertain to?
- 9 A. The earlier e-mail is from Andrew Gordon, who is the
 10 attorney to Selling Source, sent to Tim Muir, me, Sam Humphreys
- and Douglas Tulley, a copy to Brian Grubb and Patrick Murch.
- Q. Does this e-mail generally pertain to this issue that we have been talking about, these declarations?
- 14 A. It refers to the original declaration being sealed.
- MR. VELAMOOR: The government offers 2805.
- MR. BATH: No objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Received.
- 18 (Government's Exhibit 2805 received in evidence)
- 19 BY MR. VELAMOOR:
- 20 Q. So the earlier e-mail you mentioned, Mr. Gordon's e-mail,
- 21 | he is attaching the judge's order sealing the original
- 22 documents that had not been redacted?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. There is a subsequent e-mail from Mr. Muir to Mr. Tucker.
- 25 Do you see that?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- Q. What is the substance of Mr. Muir's e-mail? What does he
- 3 say?
- 4 A. Mr. Muir said it was great news.
- 5 Q. Mr. Irby, just a couple of more questions.
- Did there come a time when you were deposed in connection
- 7 | with a California class action case?
- 8 | A. I was.
- 9 Q. What, generally speaking, did that case relate to?
- 10 A. It's a case brought, a class action alleging that Selling
- 11 | Source was selling leads in California.
- 12 | Q. Did it generally relate to payday lending activities?
- 13 A. Yes, selling leads to unlicensed payday lenders in
- 14 | California.
- 15 | Q. To your knowledge, did this issue with these declarations
- 16 come up during that deposition?
- 17 A. It was shown to me as part of it, yes.
- 18 | Q. From your work in this area, did you become aware of Mr.
- 19 | Tucker's interest in car racing?
- 20 A. I knew he was involved in car racing, yes.
- 21 | Q. Did Selling Source have any kind of relationship or
- 22 | involvement in Mr. Tucker's car racing activities?
- 23 A. I think for one year we sponsored the -- we were a sponsor
- 24 of his racing team, yes.
- 25 | Q. Do you recall approximately how much that sponsorship was?

1 A. I don't remember. It was a couple hundred thousand, I think.

- Q. Do you know why Selling Source sponsored Mr. Tucker's racing?
- A. He was our largest customer and he asked us to sponsor his racing team.
 - Q. Was the purpose to maintain a good business relationship?
 - A. Maintain a business relationship with our largest customer.

MR. VELAMOOR: No further questions.

THE COURT: All right. Any cross?

MR. BATH: Yes, please.

THE COURT: I will tell you what. Mr. Bath, why don't we give you a few minutes and we will take a break and we will pick up in ten minutes with the cross-examination.

Remember, ladies and gentlemen, do not discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone.

See you in ten minutes. Thank you.

(Jury exits courtroom).

THE COURT: See you in ten minutes. Thank you.

(Recess)

(Continued on next page)

22

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Eldridge, if you'll bring the jury in.

(Jury present)

THE COURT: All right. Please be seated.

Mr. Bath, whenever you're ready.

MR. BATH: Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

- BY MR. BATH:
- 9 Q. Mr. Irby, as I understand it, you're in the business of
- 10 | buying companies, getting them in better shape and selling
- 11 | them?

3

4

5

6

7

- 12 | A. That's correct.
- 13 | Q. You've been doing that a long time?
- 14 A. I've been doing this the last ten years, yeah.
- 15 | Q. All right. And this purchase you talked about initially,
- 16 London Bay buying Selling Source, was about a \$90 million deal?
- 17 A. About that size, yes.
- 18 Q. Is that the typical kind of deal you might do?
- 19 THE COURT: Rephrase that. Typical size, typical
- 20 | what?
- 21 MR. BATH: Thank you, Judge.
- 22 | Q. Typical in terms of money exchanged.
- 23 A. It would be typical, yes.
- 24 | Q. Before you agreed to purchase Selling Source, I assume
- 25 there was some due diligence that was done?

- 1 A. There was extensive due diligence done, yes.
- 2 Q. I assume you probably had banks or other financial people
- 3 behind your company to loan you some of the money used in the
- 4 purchase?
- 5 A. Are you asking if we had investors behind --
- Q. Yes, or banks that were loaning you money for this
- 7 purchase.
- 8 A. Yes, we did.
- 9 Q. When you do due diligence on a company, what does that
- 10 mean?
- 11 A. Well, we would --
- 12 | THE COURT: You're asking when he does due diligence?
- 13 MR. BATH: Yes.
- 14 THE COURT: OK.
- When you do due diligence, what does that mean?
- 16 A. We typically hire an accounting firm, a legal firm, and we
- 17 | may have other consultants, depending on the nature of the
- 18 | business we're buying.
- 19 | Q. And you're essentially looking at the health of the
- 20 | company?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 | Q. You did that in this case on Selling Source?
- 23 | A. We did.
- 24 | Q. Did that take a while?
- 25 A. It did.

- 1 | Q. How long?
- 2 A. My guess, nine months it took.
- 3 | Q. Attorneys were involved?
- 4 A. I'm sorry?
- 5 | Q. Attorneys were involved?
- 6 A. Attorneys were involved, yes.
- 7 Q. Do you recall that Mr. Muir was not involved in this
- 8 | transaction, if you know?
- 9 A. I didn't -- I wasn't in, I wasn't directly or intimately
- 10 | involved in the due diligence. I don't know what role Mr. Muir
- 11 | played in the negotiations to buy the company.
- 12 | Q. Fair enough. The contract between London Bay and Selling
- 13 | Source was completed about December of 2007, correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 \parallel Q. And you also, we talked about and saw, there was a 2007, or
- 16 so, master service agreement, correct?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 | Q. And that master service agreement was between CLK and
- 19 | Selling Source?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 | Q. The master service agreement was not between London Bay and
- 22 | AMG?
- 23 A. That's -- you're correct. It was not.
- 24 | Q. The purpose of the master service agreement is you wanted
- 25 | to secure for the ten-year period a steady stream of business?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 | Q. At some point -- you testified to this in direct. At some
- 3 point that agreement, or there were other agreements that
- 4 | affected your business and the leads, is that correct?
- 5 A. You have to be specific in terms of other agreements.
- 6 Q. Fair enough. Were there other master service agreements
- 7 | entered into between Selling Source and, for instance, the
- 8 | Miami tribe?
- 9 A. There could have been. I don't have any direct knowledge
- 10 of those.
- 11 | Q. I have copies to show you, but if you don't think that will
- 12 | refresh your memory, I won't do that. You never saw those?
- 13 A. I didn't see them, no.
- 14 Q. OK. Can you tell me who Glenn McKay is?
- 15 A. Glenn McKay is currently the chief executive of Selling
- 16 | Source.
- 17 | Q. OK. And when did he start to become the chief executive?
- 18 A. He became chief executive when Mr. Derek LaFavor retired
- 19 | from Selling Source.
- 20 | Q. When did that take place?
- 21 | A. My guess, it had been 2010, 2011.
- 22 | Q. Would Glenn McKay have been with the company, though, in
- 23 | 2008?
- 24 A. He was. He was chief operating officer at the time we
- 25 acquired the company.

- Q. All right. And I assume you've got lots of companies you own?
- 3 A. We have a few that we own, yes.
- 4 Q. Right. And so sometimes the day-to-day operations are
- 5 conducted by other people?
- 6 A. That's exactly correct, yes.
- 7 Q. You're not saying that Selling Source didn't enter into
- 8 agreements with, for instance, the Miami tribe; you just don't
- 9 have personal knowledge of those?
- 10 A. I wouldn't have had -- I'm chairman of London Bay and the
- 11 direct operations of Selling Source are dealt with by other
- 12 people.
- 13 | Q. All right. Thank you so much.
- Now you talked about at some point in time Tim Muir talked
- 15 | to Sam about potential thefts of data from you?
- 16 A. Sam Humphreys, yes.
- 17 | Q. Sam, Sam Humphreys. I'm sorry.
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. And then Sam contacted you?
- 20 A. We're in the same office, so he --
- 21 \parallel Q. OK. Whether he saw you that day or he telephoned you --
- 22 A. Exactly.
- 23 | Q. -- he relayed the information, is that correct?
- 24 | A. That's correct.
- 25 | Q. And there was a concern that essentially Red River, which

- 1 was a competitor of yours, was stealing data?
- 2 A. Red River was a customer of ours.
- 3 | Q. Sorry. And they were stealing the leads?
- 4 A. They were stealing data. They had actually penetrated our
- 5 | IT system and were actually stealing the information; that is,
- 6 | information on people who were borrowing money. We had sold
- 7 | those leads to AMG, and they were recycling, effectively, those
- 8 leads.
- 9 Q. You followed up on the information that Tim provided to
- 10 Mr. Humphreys and determined it was accurate?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 | Q. You hired some lawyers and a private detective and
- 13 | essentially went after Red River?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 | Q. And in that lawsuit that you filed against Red River, you
- 16 | made the declaration we saw?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Where you believed at the time that Mr. Tucker was the
- 19 | owner of AMG?
- 20 A. That's what I believed, yes.
- 21 | Q. Then there was subsequent contact by Mr. Muir to, again,
- 22 | Mr. Humphreys or your lawyer, or somebody?
- 23 A. To Mr. Humphreys.
- 24 | Q. All right. Indicating that that was not accurate in the
- 25 declaration?

H9kWtuc3 Irby - Redirect

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. You and your lawyers then, I assume, looked into it, did
- 3 something about it?
- 4 A. That's correct. We were told he was not the owner and
- 5 | asked us to correct it. We said we would do so.
- 6 | Q. And you corrected it?
- 7 | A. We did.
- 8 | Q. And that's the other document the government showed us,
- 9 | correct?
- 10 | A. That's correct.
- 11 | Q. And in fact, the judge, the federal judge -- it was a
- 12 | federal lawsuit?
- 13 A. I believe it was, yes.
- 14 | Q. The judge granted your request to redact your declaration?
- 15 | A. That's correct.
- 16 MR. BATH: That's all I have. Thank you so much.
- 17 | THE COURT: Any other cross?
- 18 Redirect?
- 19 MR. VELAMOOR: Very briefly.
- 20 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. VELAMOOR:
- 22 | Q. Mr. Irby, you mentioned the master service agreement was
- 23 with Selling Source on one side and CLK, right?
- 24 | A. That's correct.
- 25 | Q. And it was also with several other entities as well,

987 H9kWtuc3 Irby - Redirect correct? That's correct. Α. MR. VELAMOOR: Could we put up very quickly 2802. And those entities are listed in the top paragraph, correct? That's correct. Α. They include CB Services Corp., Executive Global Management, NM Services Corp., Silver State Business Administrators and UMS, correct? A. That's correct. MR. VELAMOOR: No further questions, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. You may step down, sir. you. (Witness excused)

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: The government may call its next witness.

MR. VELAMOOR: Your Honor, we just have two exhibits we intend to offer, 2806 and 2807.

THE COURT: Any objection?

Hearing none, they are received -- oh, one second.

MR. VELAMOOR: On 2806 we're only offering the first page and the top email on the second page.

MR. BATH: No objection to 2807.

THE COURT: And 2806?

MR. GINSBERG: No objection.

THE COURT: All right. They're both received.

(Government Exhibits 2806 and 2807 received in 1 2 evidence) 3 THE COURT: You may publish. 4 MR. VELAMOOR: Thank you, your Honor. 5 Could we start with 2806 and go to the second page, 6 the bottom email, highlight who it's from and to. And then 7 move now just to the first paragraph of the email. Let's turn back to the first page and the "to" and 8 9 "from" of the middle email. And now just highlight the first 10 and second paragraphs of that email. And Ms. Grant, can you 11 highlight the sentence beginning with "I," at the end of the 12 third line, the rest of -- yes. 13 OK. We can take that down, please, and may we show 14 2807, beginning with the top email on the second page. OK, 15 back to the first page, starting with the bottom email. OK. Move to the next email up. OK. 16 17 Next one up. And could we just highlight the OK. substance of that email. 18 19 OK. And then the final email on top. 20 Thank you, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. Call your next witness. 22 Mr. Ravi. 23 The government calls Carolyn Williams. MR. RAVI: 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 (Continued on next page)

H9kWtuc3 Williams - Direct

1 CAROLYN WILLIAMS,

2 called as a witness by the Government,

3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE COURT: Mr. Ravi, you may inquire.

MR. RAVI: Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. RAVI:

4

5

6

- Q. Good morning, Ms. Williams. How old are you?
- 9 | A. I'm 62.
- 10 Q. Where do you currently live?
- 11 A. I live in Monkey Island, Oklahoma.
- 12 | Q. How long have you lived there?
- 13 A. Since 2003 -- well, in that vicinity since 2003.
- 14 | THE COURT: All right. I'm going to ask that you just
- 15 | pull that microphone a little bit closer so everyone can hear
- 16 you. That's fine, but get comfortable in the chair. You don't
- 17 | have to lean. That's fine.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 19 Q. How far did you go in school, Ms. Williams?
- 20 A. I have about 30 hours of college credit.
- 21 | Q. Did you graduate from college?
- 22 A. I did not.
- 23 | Q. Do you have any licenses or certifications?
- 24 A. I do. I have an Oklahoma real estate brokers license and I
- 25 | have a paralegal certification.

- Q. And how long have you had both of those, the license or the certification?
- 3 A. Both since 2012.
- 4 Q. Are you currently employed?
- 5 A. I am. I'm self-employed.
- 6 Q. What do you do?
- 7 A. I'm a real estate broker.
- 8 Q. How long have you been a real estate broker?
- 9 | A. Since 2012.
- 10 Q. Can you briefly describe your work history?
- 11 A. I've done many different jobs. The area that my family
- 12 | lives in is, has a seasonal economy, and I have been a -- I've
- 13 been a real estate agent, real estate broker. I've been a
- 14 clerical worker. I have been a cook, a babysitter, a waitress,
- 15 | a lobbyist. I've done some public relations work as well.
- 16 | Q. Have you also done paralegal work?
- 17 | A. I have.
- 18 Q. Ms. Williams, was there a time that you worked, began to
- 19 work at the Miami tribe?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And when was that?
- 22 | A. My first period of employment with the Miami tribe was in
- 23 | 2003 to 2005, and my --
- 24 | Q. Did you later work there at a different time period?
- 25 A. I did, from roughly June of 2011 through April of 2013.

THE COURT: And so we're clear about this, when you
refer to the Miami tribe, you're referring to the tribe located
in Oklahoma, is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Miami Tribe of Oklahoma.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

MR. RAVI: Thank you, your Honor.

- Q. How did you come to work at the Miami tribe the first period, from 2003 to 2005?
- 9 A. Don Brady called and offered me a job.
- 10 | Q. And how did you know Don Brady at the time?
- 11 A. He and his wife were members of our social circle, friends,
- 12 | in -- where we lived.

4

5

6

7

- 13 | Q. And what kind of job did he offer you?
- 14 A. The first time I went to work there, I was working as
- 15 principally his office manager, bookkeeper-type position for
- 16 | the -- and Don's personal assistant.
- 17 | Q. Were you working in relation to a particular entity?
- 18 A. Yes. At that time -- pardon me. Don was CEO of Miami
- 19 Tribe Business Enterprises, MTBE.
- 20 | O. And what was MTBE?
- 21 A. MTBE was a subdivision of the Miami tribe that basically
- 22 | ran or oversaw their small business operations.
- 23 | Q. And generally, what kinds of small businesses are we
- 24 | talking about?
- 25 A. There were several small ones, the Leonard Learning Center,

1 | which was a day care center.

THE COURT: The what?

THE WITNESS: Leonard Learning Center.

THE COURT: Thank you.

A. The --

pecan farm.

2

4

5

6

- Q. Were there other small businesses?
- A. Yes. I'm sorry. There was an environmental group. There
 was a, a print shop that did T-shirts and caps. There was a
 gift shop, a small gift shop on the tribal headquarters, and a
- 11 Q. Ms. Williams, can you describe generally what you did as
- part of your position with MTBE and as Mr. Brady's assistant?

 A. I would type things, take some dictation from Don, type
- 14 things, file. I entered some of the financial records into a
- 15 bookkeeping system. I collected the hot checks from a little
- 16 casino -- oh, small casino was also one of MTBE's enterprises.
- 17 Q. Go ahead. Are you finished?
- 18 A. That's principally what I did.
- 19 Q. And where was your office in relation to Mr. Brady's
- 20 office?
- 21 A. My desk was directly outside of his office.
- 22 | Q. And how often did you see Mr. Brady when you were his
- 23 personal assistant during this time period?
- 24 A. When he was in town, I saw him every day.
- 25 | Q. How often was he out of town?

- 1 A. Not a great deal.
- 2 | Q. And did you maintain his schedule?
- 3 | A. I did.
- 4 | Q. How did Mr. Brady typically spend his days at that time?
- 5 A. He was trying to turn around the small businesses, and so
- 6 he reviewed a lot of the financial statements, met with the
- 7 people running the different businesses, and he attended
- 8 meetings with the tribal leadership. That was the majority of
- 9 what he did.
- 10 | Q. Do you recall what businesses he was spending most of his
- 11 | time on at that time?
- 12 A. Yes. The print shop, that had -- that was most likely to
- 13 be the biggest revenue generator at that time because of the
- 14 | economic environment there.
- 15 | Q. Did you also overhear Mr. Brady's phone conversations?
- 16 | A. Some, yes.
- 17 | Q. Was that because you were sitting right outside of his
- 18 office?
- 19 A. Yes, I could hear if his door was open.
- 20 | Q. How old was Mr. Brady at this time, from 2003 to 2005,
- 21 | approximately?
- 22 | A. Don would have been in his -- let me think. He would have
- 23 been in his 70s, I believe, early 70s then.
- 24 | Q. Did there come a time that you learned that the Miami tribe
- 25 was involved in the payday lending business?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. And when did you learn that, approximately?
- 3 A. Sometime around November of 2003.
- 4 | Q. Did you have discussions with Mr. Brady about that payday
- 5 | loan business?
- 6 A. Some.

- Q. Can you describe those discussions?
- 8 | A. We didn't discuss the operation per se, but he told me that
- 9 | this was, that this particular business enterprise was
- 10 something that needed to be kept very quiet.
- 11 Q. Did Mr. Brady, did you have discussions with Mr. Brady
- 12 | about who operated this business?
- 13 | A. I did.
- 14 | Q. And what did Mr. Brady tell you?
- 15 | A. That Scott Tucker was the principal in the operation.
- 16 | Q. And where was this payday loan business based at that time?
- 17 A. At that time I can't recall the specific address for them.
- 18 I'm sorry. The National Money Service, which was the entity
- 19 | that brought the opportunity to the tribe, they were not
- 20 | located on tribal land.
- 21 \parallel Q. So you knew the payday loan business to be called what?
- 22 | A. Well, the operate -- within the tribe, it was referred to
- 23 | as Tribal Financial Services, I believe, but maybe -- I'm not
- 24 | sure whether that was originally, but at a later time it was.
- 25 | Q. What did you know about National Money Services at the

1 | time?

2

3

8

9

10

16

17

22

23

24

- A. I knew nothing except they were the entity that contract -that brought the offer to the tribe.
- Q. And what did Mr. Brady tell you about Mr. Tucker, if anything else?
- A. Just if he called, I was to try and track Don down so he could return his calls.
 - Q. I'm handing you what has been marked as Government Exhibits 301, 302 and 303. Turning first to Government Exhibit 301, do you recognize that?
- 11 A. I do.
- 12 | Q. What is it?
- A. This is the letter of intent regarding the payday loan business agreement addressed to Chief Leonard and the tribal council, from Scott Tucker.
 - MR. RAVI: The government offers Government Exhibit 301.
- THE COURT: Any objection? Hearing none, received.

 (Government Exhibit 301 received in evidence)
- Q. Ms. Williams, can you please read the first paragraph of this letter?
 - A. "Dear Chief Floyd Leonard and council members, I am the founder and president of National Money Service Inc., a proven and highly successful corporation that has been involved in the payday loan business throughout the united for the last six

1 | years --"

4

5

6

10

THE COURT: Past six years. Thank you.

3 | THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

- A. "National Money Service Inc. employs nearly 300 people and has its principal offices in Mission, Kansas, a suburb of
- 7 Kansas City, Missouri."

8 MR. RAVI: If we could zoom out and focus on the date, 9 on the top left.

- O. What's the date on this letter?
- 11 | A. October 10, 2003.
- MR. RAVI: Now turn to page three and just focus on the signature.
- 14 Q. And who signed this letter, Ms. Williams?
- 15 A. Scott Tucker.
- MR. RAVI: Turn now to page 2.
- Q. If you could just read paragraph 2 next to "no cash required"?
- A. "The tribe and the proposed tribal entity will not be required to provide any investment, cash or cash equivalent and will not be responsible for any losses."
- Q. Does this document also attach a draft service agreement?

 MR. RAVI: Turn now to page 7.
- Q. Ms. Williams, you might also be able to see it on your screen in front of you.

- 1 A. Oh. I'm sorry. Yes.
- 2 MR. RAVI: If we could zoom in on the first line and 3 the header.
- 4 | Q. Could you please read that?
- 5 A. "This agreement is entered into this" blank "day of October
- 6 2003 by and between the Miami tribe in Oklahoma," in
- 7 parentheses, "tribe and Universal Management Services Inc.," in
- 8 parentheses "UMS."
- 9 MR. RAVI: If we could turn to page 8, and then just 10 zoom in on the signature spaces.
- 11 Q. Read what it says next to tribal name.
- 12 A. Miami Tribe Nebraska.
- 13 | Q. What was the Miami tribe you were associated with?
- 14 A. Oklahoma.
- 15 Q. Turning now to Government Exhibit 302 --
- MR. RAVI: You can take that down, Ms. Grant.
- 17 | Q. -- do you recognize this document?
- 18 | A. I do.
- 19 | Q. What is it?
- 20 | A. It's the service agreement between MTBE, or the Miami Tribe
- 21 | Business Enterprises, and Universal Management Services.
- 22 MR. RAVI: The government offers Government Exhibit
- 23 | 302.
- 24 | THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. GINSBERG: No objection.

1 THE COURT: Received.

(Government Exhibit 302 received in evidence)

MR. RAVI: Please publish that.

- Q. Ms. Williams, is this the executed version of the service agreement?
- A. It is.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And what's the date that it was signed?
- 8 A. The 14th day of November 2003.
 - MR. RAVI: Could we turn now to paragraph 2.
- 10 Q. Can you please read that paragraph.
 - A. "Capital provided by UMS. UMS will or will arrange to provide capital up to a maximum \$5 million," in parentheses

 "five million dollars to the MTBE's payday loan business to be administered wholly and only by UMS for the purpose of funding a volume of payday loans and all operating expenses in a manner similar to that now conducted by its parent company, National Money Service Inc."
 - Q. Thank you. Could you please also read paragraph 3.
 - A. "Services provided by UMS. UMS will furnish for the benefit of the MTBE all support staff, equipment and business arrangements required to conduct an efficient payday loan business, including advertising and promotion, all sufficient to achieve competitive results with the capital allocated to the enterprise."
 - MR. RAVI: If we can turn now to page 2.

- Could you please read paragraph 6, next to fee arrangement? 1
- "Fee agreement. UMS will pay the MTBE a minimum fee of 2 Α.
- 3 twenty thousand and no dollars, \$20,000 per month while the
- agreement is in force, with a maximum fee equal to 1 percent," 4
- 5 in parentheses "1 percent of the gross collected revenue of the
- 6 payday loan operation."
- 7 Just to be clear, that paragraph 6, the heading is fee
- 8 agreement, correct?
- 9 That is correct. Α.
- 10 Finally, can you please read paragraph 11, next to
- 11 investment and risk?
- "The MTBE shall have no obligation to invest money or pay 12
- 13 expenses of the operation, except for its office expenses on
- 14 the Miami Indian Country and the salary and expenses of its
- 15 administrator."
- MR. RAVI: Could we focus now on the signature line. 16
- 17 Who signed below Universal Management Services Inc.?
- Scott Tucker, as president. 18 Α.
- And who signed as the authorized agent of the Miami tribe? 19 Q.
- 20 Don Brady, as chief executive officer of MTBE. Α.
- 21 MR. RAVI: Let's turn now to Government Exhibit 303.
- 22 Do you recognize that? Q.
- 23 Α. I do.
- 24 Ο. And what is that?
- 25 A special power of attorney and corporate resolution.

H9kWtuc3 Williams - Direct

1 Q. And is this signed by Mr. Brady?

A. It is.

2

9

10

15

- 3 MR. RAVI: The government offers Government Exhibit 4 303.
- 5 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 6 MR. GINSBERG: No objection.
- 7 THE COURT: Received.
- 8 (Government Exhibit 303 received in evidence)
 - MR. RAVI: If we could focus on the heading and the first line.
- 11 Q. Ms. Williams, could you read that first line?
- 12 A. "Special power of attorney and corporate resolution granted
 13 to Scott Tucker, Universal Management Services Inc., CVC
 14 Services Inc., NM Service Corporation and any of his, its and
- 16 MR. RAVI: Turn now to the first paragraph.
- 17 Q. Could you please read that paragraph, Ms. Williams?
- 18 A. The first paragraph, or paragraph No. 1?

their subsidiaries and affiliates."

- 19 Q. Yes. Paragraph No. 1.
- A. "To open, maintain and operate bank, ACH and ATM accounts at U.S. Bank and any other acceptable U.S. bank or company for the purpose of depositing and expending funds to facilitate the operation of the tribe's loan service managed by NM Service Corporation, Universal Management Services Inc., CVC Services
- 25 Inc., Scott Tucker and/or any of its or his subsidiaries and

H9kWtuc3

affiliates." 1

- 2 MR. RAVI: Can we focus now on the signature line.
- 3 Q. Again, who signed this, and what was the date it was
- 4 signed?
- 5 A. Don Brady. Don E. Brady, CEO. It was signed June 10,
- 2004. 6
- 7 MR. RAVI: Thank you. We can take that down,
- Ms. Grant. 8
- 9 Q. Ms. Williams, at this time when you were at the tribe,
- 10 around 2003 to 2005, what did you see Mr. Brady do as part of
- 11 the payday loan business?
- 12 A. He would receive a packet of papers, and he would initial
- 13 those and then return them.
- 14 And who was sending these packets of information? Q.
- 15 Α. The loan company operation.
- 16 Do you know where these packets were sent from? 0.
- 17 No, I don't specifically. Α.
- 18 And can you describe what was contained in these packets?
- 19 They were lists of people's names and the amount of the
- 20 loans that they had applied for. There may have been other
- 21 information, but I didn't review these.
- 22 Q. And what did you see Mr. Brady do with these lists of
- 23 people and loan amounts?
- 24 He would initial them. Α.
- 25 Did you see him do anything else with these papers?

- 1 | A. No.
- 2 Q. You indicated that it was then sent back?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 | Q. Where was it sent back to?
- 5 A. I don't recall the exact address.
- Q. Did you ever see Mr. Brady review any financial paperwork
- 7 related to the individuals in these lists?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. To your knowledge, did Mr. Brady review any underwriting
- 10 criteria relating to any of the loans of the payday lending
- 11 | business?
- 12 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 13 | Q. And what did you do with respect to these packets or lists
- 14 | that you were provided -- that Mr. Brady was provided?
- 15 A. Nothing, except I would deliver them from the mail or I
- 16 | would return them to be mailed.
- 17 | Q. And other than initialing these lists of individuals and
- 18 sending them back to the loan business, did you see Mr. Brady
- 19 do anything else as part of this payday loan business?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 | Q. Did you ever see or hear Mr. Brady discuss the operation of
- 22 | the payday loan business?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. To your knowledge, was Mr. Brady operating any aspect of
- 25 | the loan business?

- 1 A. Not that I was aware of.
- 2 | Q. And at that time, did anyone else, to your knowledge, do
- 3 anything else relating to the operations of the payday loan
- 4 | business?
- 5 A. Not -- no one within the -- the staff members that I worked
- 6 with did not, no.
- 7 Q. Were the offices related to the payday loan business at the
- 8 Miami tribe when you were there from 2003 to 2005?
- 9 A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that, please.
- 10 | Q. Were there any offices specifically related to the payday
- 11 | loan business while you were there at the tribe from 2003 to
- 12 | 2005?
- 13 A. Not that I'm specifically aware of. The offices where we
- 14 | worked were on North Main Street in Miami. I think it was 18
- 15 | North Main. The tribal headquarters were on trust land at
- 16 another location.
- 17 | Q. Now, Ms. Williams, at some point you stopped working
- 18 directly for Mr. Brady?
- 19 | A. I did.
- 20 Q. And what did you end up doing after that?
- 21 A. I was promoted to a public relations position, and then I
- 22 | also assisted the general counsel, who was a part-time
- 23 employee, and did some business development work.
- 24 | Q. And this is all with the Miami tribe, correct?
- 25 A. It is.

- At some point, did you stop working for the Miami tribe? 1
 - I did, in 2005. Α.
- 3 Why generally did you stop working for the tribe at that
- 4 point?

- 5 A. I had been working on several different business
- 6 opportunities, and I just didn't feel like we were going
- 7 anywhere with that, and I decided I wanted to do something
- different. 8
- 9 Q. So what kind of work did you do after you left the tribe in
- 10 2005, before you returned to the tribe?
- 11 A. A variety of different things. I, I had my real estate
- 12 license and I did some real estate. I worked in clerical
- 13 positions. My husband worked, most of the jobs my husband had
- 14 at that time were -- half of his, half of his salary was based
- 15 on commission, and we had four children and it was difficult to
- live with everybody making commissions, so sometimes I worked 16
- 17 regular jobs that had guaranteed weekly or biweekly salaries,
- 18 and sometimes I did self-employment.
- 19 Q. Now, Ms. Williams, when did you return to working at the
- 20 Miami tribe?
- 21 In -- I believe it was June of 2011, June or July. Α.
- 22 And under what circumstances did you return to the tribe? Q.
- 23 Don Brady called me and asked me to come back to work. Α.
- 24 Ο. And did you end up working for him?
- 25 It was a part-time position. Α. I did.

- 1 | Q. And what were you asked to do?
- 2 A. He offered me the position as a part-time paralegal. I
- 3 | told him I was not certified as a paralegal, which at that
- 4 point in time had become important to the industry, and so I --
- 5 | my job was to organize the legal documents and the
- 6 organizational documents of the tribe so they could be produced
- 7 | more readily in discovery and also to create indices of all of
- 8 these documents.
- 9 | Q. And when you're talking about organizing all these
- 10 documents and creating indices, what are these related to,
- 11 generally?
- 12 A. To the state litigations.
- 13 | Q. And the state litigation involving the payday loan
- 14 | business?
- 15 | A. Yes. The state -- the loan company had been sued in
- 16 | multiple jurisdictions.
- 17 | Q. And what states were some of these litigations in?
- 18 A. The biggest ones were in California and Colorado. There
- 19 were many other states, some of them just only letters of
- 20 complaint filed. Some of them were, you know, had actually
- 21 gone as far as petitions filed in court.
- 22 | Q. And who directed you to organize these documents and
- 23 | indices for purposes of this litigation?
- 24 A. Don Brady.
- 25 | Q. And what did Mr. Brady do with all these documents when

- 1 | they were organized by you?
- 2 A. Nothing.
- 3 Q. When you were back at the Miami tribe, were you working for
- 4 | a different entity than MTBE?
- 5 | A. Yes, the successor to MTBE was MNE, Miami Nation
- 6 Enterprises, and that was now the entity that oversaw the
- 7 businesses.
- 8 Q. Describe how MNE was structured.
- 9 A. MNE was a business subdivision of the tribe. It was -- it
- 10 had a board member -- excuse me, a board that was appointed,
- 11 and Don Brady was the CEO. There was, there were also other,
- 12 | CFO and other employees.
- 13 | Q. And just like MTBE, did MNE manage certain businesses?
- 14 | A. It did.
- 15 | Q. And what was your title when you came back to the tribe?
- 16 A. Well, initially, as a part-time employee. Just part time;
- 17 | I don't remember exactly what they classified me as, perhaps
- 18 | legal assistant. I don't know whether it was paralegal or not.
- 19 | When I became a full-time employee, Don created a position
- 20 | called law center manager.
- 21 | Q. And what were you doing as the law center manager?
- 22 A. Principally organizing these documents.
- 23 | Q. Did you also serve as an assistant to Mr. Brady?
- 24 A. I did, although I was not his personal assistant until some
- 25 months later.

- 1 Q. Now, during the course of your time at the Miami tribe,
- 2 when you returned in 2011, how often did you interact with
- 3 Mr. Brady?
- 4 A. If he was in town, on a daily basis.
- 5 Q. And how often was he out of town?
- 6 A. Not very often.
- 7 | Q. Were you also familiar with his schedule at this time?
- 8 | A. I was.
- 9 Q. And how were you familiar with his schedule?
- 10 A. Once I became his assistant, I maintained his schedule.
- 11 | Q. Where was your office in relation to Mr. Brady's?
- 12 A. My initial employment, my office was on the west end of his
- 13 office, and my -- once his personal assistant, or executive
- 14 | assistant, was promoted, Melissa Barnes, and I moved into her
- 15 office, which was on the east end of Mr. Brady's office and had
- 16 a connecting door.
- 17 | Q. So when you first started in 2011, your office was right
- 18 | next to Mr. Brady's?
- 19 | A. It was.
- 20 Q. And then afterwards, when you took on the personal
- 21 assistant role, you had an office that actually had a
- 22 | connecting door?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 | Q. At this time how old was Mr. Brady?
- 25 A. I remember we celebrated Don's 80th birthday in an office,

H9kWtuc3 Williams - Direct

- with a cake in the office, so he was probably 79 when I went to
 work there, but I'm not sure what year he turned 80.
 - Q. Describe a typical day for Mr. Brady at this time period.
- 4 A. He would come into the office. He always read the Tulsa
- 5 World. We had a subscription to the newspaper that was
- 6 delivered to the door, and he would check his email. He would
- 7 | review, just look at things on his iPad, and he would do the
- 8 | loan approvals. Not really -- ostensibly do the loan
- 9 approvals.

- 10 Q. And we'll get back to the loan approvals, as you call it, a
- 11 | little bit later on.
- 12 And what time did Mr. Brady typically leave?
- 13 A. He usually took a nice long lunch, and then sometimes he
- 14 would leave between three and four. On occasion he would stay
- 15 | later.
- 16 Q. Could you also overhear Mr. Brady's phone conversations?
- 17 A. Yes, once I moved into the office next to him.
- 18 Q. Now, when you returned with the Miami, they were still
- 19 | involved with the payday loan business, correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. What was it called this time?
- 22 | A. There were two companies, MNE Services, which was a
- 23 subdivision of MNE, and the other was AMG Services, and AMG was
- 24 organized beneath the tribal business council.
- 25 | Q. Did AMG have any business names it was associated with?

H9kWtuc3 Williams - Direct

- 1 A. Yes, there were some portfolio names.
 - Q. Do you recall any of those names?
- 3 A. Ameriloan was, I think, the biggest one, most active at
- 4 | that time. United Fast Cash or United Cash. United. Maybe
- 5 | 500 FastCash. There were five portfolios under the Miami
- 6 | tribe, and there were two others that were managed by, or
- 7 | supposedly managed by other tribes, and I don't recall those
- 8 | specific names. I'm sorry.
- 9 Q. During this time period, what, if anything, did Mr. Brady
- 10 | tell you about who ran the operations of the payday loan
- 11 | business?

- 12 | A. He said that Scott Tucker ran the operations.
- 13 Q. And Ms. Williams, looking around the courtroom today, do
- 14 | you recognize Scott Tucker?
- 15 | A. I do.
- 16 | Q. And could you just identify where he's located and maybe
- 17 | identify him by an item of clothing?
- 18 A. He is --
- 19 | THE COURT: You can stand up, if you'd like.
- 20 THE WITNESS: OK, yeah.
- 21 A. He's on -- fourth from the left on the back row of the
- 22 | counsels' table, wearing a red or burgundy tie.
- MR. RAVI: Thank you.
- 24 THE COURT: Identification noted.
- 25 BY MR. RAVI:

5

- 2 A. It was headquartered in Overland Park, Kansas.
- 3 Q. What position, if any, did Mr. Brady hold at AMG?

Where was AMG headquartered, Ms. Williams?

- 4 A. Mr. Brady was the CEO.
 - Q. Do you believe that Mr. Brady acted as the CEO?
- 6 A. No, he did not.
- 7 | Q. And why do you say that?
- 8 A. Because he didn't have any control over the business. He
- 9 | had none of the financial information. He didn't make any
- 10 decisions as to hiring. There were multiple reasons over time
- 11 | that made me question that.
- 12 | Q. And we'll get into some of those reasons.
- What did you see or hear Mr. Brady do as part of the loan
- 14 | business?
- 15 A. He would sign declarations that the attorneys sent him
- 16 | relating to the state court litigation. He would sometimes
- 17 | sign vendor contracts that were FedExed to him from the Kansas
- 18 City office. That was principally it.
- 19 Q. And how much time, if you could estimate, was Mr. Brady
- 20 spending on the payday loan business versus the other
- 21 | businesses held by MNE?
- 22 | A. He spent less than 5 percent of his time.
- 23 Q. To your knowledge, did Mr. Brady ever discuss or make
- 24 decisions about the operations of the loan business?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. To your knowledge, did Mr. Brady ever discuss or review the
- 2 | loan applications customers filled out?
- 3 A. There was an approval process, but none of the actual
- 4 applications themselves.
- 5 | Q. To your knowledge, did Mr. Brady ever discuss or make
- 6 decisions regarding the criteria to approve loans?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 | Q. Did Mr. Brady ever discuss decisions regarding marketing of
- 9 | the loans to customers?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 | Q. Did Mr. Brady ever discuss or review the books and records
- 12 | of AMG?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. Did Mr. Brady ever give instructions to any employees in
- 15 | Kansas City?
- 16 | A. No.
- 17 | Q. Was Mr. Brady ever involved in the collection of loans from
- 18 | customers?
- 19 A. No, he was not.
- 20 | Q. Did Mr. Brady ever interact with anyone at U.S. Bank
- 21 | concerning the loan business?
- 22 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 23 | Q. How often was Mr. Brady at AMG's headquarters in Kansas
- 24 | City?
- 25 A. Once a month. Once, maybe twice a month, but generally

- 1 just once a month, beginning sometime around April of 2012.
- 2 Q. How did he travel there?
- 3 A. Generally he drove. On occasion he would fly with other
- 4 members of the tribe. Sometimes they would -- Scott Tucker
- 5 would send a plane.
- 6 Q. And who was Mr. Brady paid by?
- 7 | A. He was paid by MNE, Miami Nation Enterprises.
- 8 Q. Was he paid by AMG?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 Q. Was his salary reimbursed by AMG in any way?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 | Q. And who were you paid by?
- 13 \parallel A. I was paid by MNE also.
- 14 | Q. Based on your discussions with Mr. Brady, do you know what
- 15 | AMG was named after?
- 16 A. Don told me it was named after Scott Tucker's favorite car.
- 17 | Q. What names did the tribe generally choose for its
- 18 | businesses?
- 19 | A. Their businesses generally had some direct link to the
- 20 | Miami tribe or to a leader, as honorarium, or Miami language,
- 21 | Miami tribe language name, like Leonard Learning Center, which
- 22 | was the day care center, it was named after Chief Leonard, the
- 23 | former chief. It was actually named after him while he was
- 24 chief, I believe. And the farm had a Miami tribe language
- 25 name.

H9kWtuc3 Williams - Direct

Miami Nation Enterprises, MNE Services, which was Miami
Nation Enterprise Services. With the exception of Service
World, most of them had — which was a company they bought
intact, they had Miami tribe names.

Q. Are you aware of an entity called CLK?

A. I am.

Q. And what do you know about CLK?

A. In 2008 -- pardon me. In 2008, the Miami tribe merged, created a company, a corporation that merged with CLK; that was AMG. And CLK was the actual loan company operated by Scott Tucker at that time.

MR. RAVI: Your Honor, I'm going to pass the witness a bottle of water.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

THE WITNESS: Thank you so much.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, let's take a ten-minute recess. Please do not discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone. We'll see you in ten minutes.

Everyone in the back of the courtroom, please stand and do not leave the courtroom at this moment, until the jury has exited.

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: All right. We're on a ten-minute recess.

25 (Recess)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

(Jury not present)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Bring our jury in, please.

MR. RAVI: Your Honor, can I just confirm that we are going to 1:30 today.

THE COURT: We are going to go to 1. So if you have any dramatic moves you want to make before the weekend, you have got to do them by 1:00, not at 1:28. That's a heads-up.

MR. GINSBERG: Judge, I am not sure that you made a final ruling on admissibility of the tapes and transcripts, that whole issue we had with the affidavit and everything.

THE COURT: No. In fact, I had ruled that they were required to provide a log, and they did. And at that point, the defense has been free all along, since that moment, to make any further applications.

MR. GINSBERG: I am not arguing that. I just want to make sure, since they provided it, that means they are admissible as far as you're concerned and that's it.

THE COURT: Subject to somebody saying, I have got this log, it's a fraud, they didn't make out any claim of privilege.

MR. GINSBERG:

THE COURT: That, as far as I was concerned, having heard nothing further, disposed of the issue. But thank you for raising it and that's how I see it. Thank you.

(Continued on next page)

1 (Jury present)

CAROLYN WILLIAMS, resumed. 2

3 THE COURT: You may resume the witness stand, please.

You may continue.

- BY MR. RAVI: 5
- Q. Ms. Williams, before the break, you were talking about a 6 7 merger of CLK into AMG, correct?
- 8 Α. Yes.

4

- When did you say that happened?
- 10 Α. In June of 2008.
- 11 Based on your discussions with Mr. Brady, what did you
- 12 learn about that merger?
- 13 A. Don said that it didn't change anything, that it was
- 14 basically just a paper trail and it was done because of the
- 15 pressures from the Colorado litigation.
- When you say paper trail, what do you mean? 16
- 17 Well, none of the -- nothing changed except on paper.
- now it appears that AMG is in charge of that aspect of the loan 18
- company instead of CLK, but nothing changed, there were no 19
- 20 organizational changes, there were no changes in the duties of
- 21 anyone within the Miami tribe.
- 22 Q. What did you understand from Mr. Brady to be the purpose of
- 23 the supposed merger?
- 24 They were hoping that this would impact the Colorado
- 25 litigation by providing the tribe sovereign immunity so that

H9K8TUC4 Williams - Direct

- 1 | there wouldn't be any more issues with it.
- Q. What would making a paper merger of a supposed merger, how
- 3 would that assist with that, as you understood from Mr. Brady?
- 4 A. Because the organizational documents of AMG said that they
- 5 assumed 100 percent of CLK, and so that they were now the
- 6 entity that was providing the loan service.
- 7 Q. Do you understand whether there was any payments that were
- 8 made in 2008 when this merger happened for an acquisition of
- 9 any assets?
- 10 A. At a later time there was a payment, but no money exchanged
- 11 | hands at that time, even though it was provided for in the
- 12 agreement.
- 13 | Q. What later time was any money provided, if any?
- 14 A. I think my recollection is that it was paid in 2010.
- 15 \parallel Q. That was two years after the supposed merger occurred?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Ms. Williams, were you familiar with the relationship
- 18 between Mr. Brady and Mr. Tucker?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Describe their relationship.
- 21 | A. Don thought of him as being a very close friend. He and
- 22 | his wife socialized with Scott Tucker and his wife. They spent
- 23 | holidays together. And Don was -- Don was considered to be
- 24 | Scott's biggest fan in the racing industry.
- 25 | Q. How do you know that?

- 1 A. Well, he received an award for that, and there is a video
- 2 of Scott calling him that.
- 3 Q. Describe what the award is.
- 4 A. It was just honoring him as his fan.
- 5 | Q. Is this in connection with Mr. Tucker's racing?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Did Mr. Brady attend races of Mr. Tucker?
- 8 A. Yes, he frequently did.
- 9 Q. Where did Mr. Brady travel to to go to these races?
- 10 A. Many different locations.
- 11 | Q. Do you know if he ever travelled internationally?
- 12 | A. I think he told me at one point that they had planned to.
- 13 I don't recall though if they actually went or not.
- 14 | Q. This award you're talking about, is it a physical award?
- 15 A. Yes, it was a plaque.
- 16 THE COURT: You have to speak in words.
- 17 | A. Yes, it was a plaque.
- 18 | Q. Have you seen this plaque?
- 19 A. At one time it was in his office.
- 20 | Q. Are you also familiar with the relationship between -- who
- 21 was the chief of the Miami tribe at that time?
- 22 A. Tom Gamble.
- 23 Q. Describe his relationship with Mr. Tucker.
- 24 A. Tom was very enamored of Scott Tucker. He was impressed by
- 25 his racing career. He was impressed by his money.

- 1 | Q. To your knowledge, did Mr. Gamble socialize with Mr.
- 2 Tucker?

9

- 3 MR. BATH: Objection. Foundation.
- 4 | Q. Are you familiar with whether or not they socialized?
 - A. Yes. I understood that he attended some of the race meets.
- 6 MR. BATH: Objection. Foundation. He didn't establish how she knows.
- 8 Q. How are you familiar?
 - A. I was told that he had attended some of the race meets, and I was aware --
- THE COURT: Told by whom?
- 12 | THE WITNESS: I was told by Don Brady.
- 13 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 14 Q. Go ahead and answer. How did they socialize together,
- 15 Mr. Gamble and Mr. Tucker?
- 16 A. They would go to dinner, Tom would go to Kansas City on
- 17 | occasion and they would have dinners. Sometimes he was hosted
- 18 | at some sporting events, he and his son and other members of
- 19 | their family, for the Kansas City football team and the
- 20 | baseball team.
- 21 | Q. Just to be clear, who is hosting who?
- 22 | A. Scott and Blaine Tucker was hosting Chief Gamble.
- 23 | Q. When Mr. Brady was going to these race meets and Mr. Gamble
- 24 was attending various sporting events, do you know who paid for
- 25 | those trips?

- A. Yes. Actually, they were paid for by the Tuckers, or through the loan company by the Tuckers.
- Q. Now, Ms. Williams, you mentioned before, you called the
- 4 | loan -- you referred to ostensible loan approvals, right?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Why did you use the word "ostensible"?
- A. Because it was just a sham. It was just a series of entering passwords into a laptop computer and scrolling down
- 9 and hitting the approval button and then going to the next
- 10 portfolio and doing the same thing.
- 11 Q. How did you learn about the sham approval process?
- 12 A. Because I was asked to do it.
- 13 | Q. Who asked you to do it?
- 14 A. Don Brady.
- 15 Q. What did Mr. Brady tell you about the sham approval
- 16 process?
- A. That they did this because they had to demonstrate that the approval process was on tribal land, on trust land.
- 19 Q. How do you know how the sham approval process worked?
- 20 MR. BATH: I object to the characterization by the government. It's argumentative.
- 22 | THE COURT: Rephrase your question.
- Q. Ms. Williams, how are you aware of how this supposed approval process worked?
- MR. BATH: Same objection.

1 THE COURT: Overruled. That's appropriate. I don't 2 know how else you propose it be phrased, Mr. Bath.

- Q. Ms. Williams, should I repeat the question for you?
- A. Please.

3

4

- 5 Q. How do you know how the supposed approval process worked?
- 6 A. Because I had to do it a few times.
- 7 | Q. Who showed you how to do this?
 - A. Don Brady.
- 9 Q. How many times have you done the supposed approval?
- 10 \parallel A. Five or six times.
- 11 | Q. Have you also observed Mr. Brady do the supposed approvals?
- 12 A. Yes, several times.
- 13 | Q. So describe how the supposed approval process worked.
- 14 A. There was a small laptop on Don's credenza behind his desk,
- 15 | and he would open the laptop, turn it on, and then he would
- 16 enter his password, and then he would enter the passwords for
- 17 | the different portfolios, scroll down and hit a button that
- 18 basically approved them, and then he would exit that, go to the
- 19 next portfolio, and then he would log off.
- 20 Q. How many portfolios are we talking about?
- 21 A. At that time there were five.
- 22 | Q. Approximately how many customers were listed?
- 23 | A. It varied. There were a couple of portfolios that had
- 24 more, Ameriloan was generally one of the largest. And, of
- 25 course, closer to the holidays, there were significantly more

- 1 | names in the portfolios.
- 2 Q. When you were doing the supposed approval process, were you
- 3 viewing any customer information?
- 4 A. It wasn't necessary to. I know the names and the amount of
- 5 | the loans were listed by line.
- 6 Q. Were you reviewing any loan applications for example?
- 7 A. No, no, I never saw those.
- 8 | Q. Were you ever told to look at any customer information when
- 9 you did the supposed approval process?
- 10 | A. No. This was strictly a mechanical function.
- 11 | Q. Could you even access any of the loan applications through
- 12 | this program?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. Were you aware of any of the criteria that should be used
- 15 || in determining whether these loans should be approved?
- 16 A. No. There was no judgment involved.
- 17 | Q. To your knowledge, did Mr. Brady ever review any loan
- 18 applications when he went through the supposed approval
- 19 process?
- 20 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 21 | Q. Did Mr. Brady ever discuss the criteria that should be used
- 22 | in order to hit the approved button?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. How long did it take to go through all of these five
- 25 portfolios and hit that approve button?

- A. Well, if you entered the passwords in correctly, it would only take a couple of minutes to do each one.
 - Q. So what affected how much time this would take?
- 4 A. Whether or not you hit the right keys to enter the
- 5 passwords.
- Q. How often were these supposed approvals supposed to be
- 7 done?

- 8 A. They were done in the office Monday through Friday.
- 9 Q. Were there times that this supposed approval process did
- 10 not take place on a given day?
- 11 A. Yes. I actually missed a day and had to do two the next
- 12 day.
- 13 Q. Did anyone ever tell you the issuance of loans for that day
- 14 | had been delayed because you didn't click the approved button?
- 15 A. No, I was not contacted by anyone.
- 16 Q. So you made approvals for past days as well?
- 17 | A. I did.
- 18 Q. Was there a process to deny the loan?
- 19 A. No, not that I was informed, or not that was evident to me.
- 20 | Q. Was there a denial button you could access?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 | Q. Could you select only certain customers in the list of
- 23 customers and approve only those?
- 24 A. No, they were in batches.
- 25 | Q. So what would you do if you didn't want someone to get a

H9K8TUC4 Williams - Direct

- 1 loan?
- 2 A. I had no control over that.
- 3 | Q. Ms. Williams, do you have any qualifications to review any
- 4 | loans?
- 5 A. No, I have none.
- 6 Q. Just to be clear, when you clicked that approved button,
- 7 | did that supposedly approve the loans for all the customers in
- 8 | the list for that portfolio?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Ms. Williams, are you familiar with -- hold on.
- 11 Ms. Williams, are you familiar with ecash?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | O. What is that?
- 14 A. Ecash was a software platform that supported the loan
- 15 company operations.
- 16 | Q. What is Mr. Tucker's relationship to ecash?
- 17 A. Well, I understood that he owned it.
- 18 | Q. Now, you participated in board meetings with AMG at the
- 19 | tribal office?
- 20 | A. I did.
- 21 | Q. At any point in time was a proposal presented to the AMG
- 22 | board regarding ecash?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. When was this, approximately?
- 25 A. Sometime February or March of 2012, there was an initial

- 1 proposal for a purchase agreement, which AMG would have paid a
- 2 certain amount of money in order to have completed -- to
- 3 purchase the ecash system in completion of the merger of AMG
- 4 | with CLK in 2008.
- 5 | Q. And that was the supposed merger we already discussed?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 Q. To your knowledge, was AMG already using ecash at this
- 8 | time?
- 9 A. Yes, it was.
- 10 Q. Did anyone explain why AMG needed to buy it?
- 11 A. Yes. Don told me that the purpose of the purchase
- 12 | agreement that was proposed at that time was to provide
- documentation to support Scott Tucker for withdrawing a large
- 14 amount of money out of the company to repay his capital that he
- 15 | had paid in.
- 16 | Q. Do you know why there had to be a purchase of ecash for
- 17 | that purpose?
- 18 A. To create a paper trail.
- 19 Q. Now, at some point was this purchase agreement for ecash
- 20 changed to a licensing agreement?
- 21 A. Yes, it was.
- 22 | Q. Do you understand from Mr. Brady why that change was made?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Please describe that conversation.
- 25 A. Well, it morphed from a purchase agreement into -- it

- 1 morphed from a purchase agreement into a licensing agreement
- 2 because the attorneys were nervous that using -- that using the
- 3 | term purchase agreement or completion of the merger would
- 4 contradict the previous declarations that had been filed in the
- 5 | state litigations, saying that they had purchased 100 percent
- 6 of the assets of CLK.
- 7 | Q. What declarations are you referring to?
- 8 A. These were declarations that Don Brady signed that were
- 9 used in the state court litigations.
- 10 | Q. Were these litigations relating to the payday loan
- 11 business.
- 12 A. Yes, they were.
- 13 | Q. At the time that these agreements relating to ecash were
- 14 presented to the board, did you have any concerns with the
- 15 | purchase agreement?
- 16 | A. I did.
- 17 | Q. What were your concerns?
- 18 A. Well, I had that same concern, because I was familiar with
- 19 | the language of the declarations, and I was concerned too that
- 20 | it contradicted previous representations.
- 21 | Q. Then once the agreement morphed from a purchase agreement
- 22 | to a licensing agreement, did you have any concerns about the
- 23 | licensing agreement?
- 24 A. I had huge concerns about the licensing agreement.
- 25 | Q. What were your concerns?

- 1 A. Because in its initial versions, the licensing agreement
- 2 | would have placed all the liability for any activities, civil
- 3 or criminal, by Scott Tucker, Blaine Tucker, and other
- 4 employees of the loan company, and all of the liability for
- 5 | those actions would have been placed on the tribe, and the
- 6 | tribe didn't have the resources to pay anything, they didn't
- 7 have the money.
- 8 Q. Was there an AMG board meeting in February 2012 in which
- 9 these transactions regarding ecash were discussed?
- 10 A. I couldn't tell you the exact date, but yes, there would
- 11 have been.
- 12 | Q. Did you also participate in drafting minutes for the
- 13 | meetings of AMG?
- 14 A. Yes, I was the recording secretary for AMG and MNES.
- 15 | Q. Did you draft the minutes during that time period?
- 16 | A. I did.
- 17 | Q. Were you ever asked to send minutes to anyone for their
- 18 | review or comment?
- 19 A. That was the first -- yes, that particular meeting, that
- 20 was the first time I was ever asked to send minutes to anyone
- 21 | else.
- 22 MR. RAVI: Please put on the screen Government Exhibit
- 23 | 401.
- 24 | Q. Do you recognize this e-mail?
- 25 A. I do.

1 MR. RAVI: The government offers Government Exhibit 2 401.

MR. BATH: No objection.

MR. GINSBERG: No objection.

THE COURT: Received.

(Government's Exhibit 401 received in evidence)

MR. RAVI: Would you please publish that and turn to the bottom e-mail.

If you can turn to the to/from line.

- Q. Who did you send this e-mail to, Ms. Williams?
- 11 A. To Conly Schulte and Tim Muir.
- 12 | Q. Who did you copy?
- 13 A. Don Brady and myself.
- 14 | Q. Is it dated February 7, 2012?
- 15 | A. It is.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 16 MR. RAVI: Go to the next page, please.
- 17 | Q. Can you read what you wrote?
- 18 A. "Attached are copies of draft minutes of AMG board and MNE
- 19 | Services board meetings held February 1, 2012. Don Brady asked
- 20 | that I forward these to you for your review. Please submit
- 21 comments, if any."
- 22 MR. RAVI: Go to the first e-mail in that chain.
- 23 Q. Did you receive any comments from Mr. Muir on the board
- 24 minutes that you drafted?
- 25 A. I did.

- 1 | Q. Was Mr. Muir in attendance at that meeting?
 - A. He was not.
- 3 | Q. But yet you still sent it to him to review?
- 4 A. Yes, I was told to.
- 5 | Q. By Mr. Brady, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.

- 7 MR. RAVI: Can we turn now to the attachment.
- Q. Is this attachment on page 3 a draft of the board minutes
 for February 1, 2012?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. If you can focus in on Roman numeral V, old business.

 12 Can you please read this paragraph?
- 13 A. "Old business: Chairman Gamble reviewed status of
- 14 negotiations between AMG and Scott Tucker regarding acquisition
- of proprietary software and other intellectual properties to
- 16 expand AMG's capabilities of servicing online short-term loan
- 17 portfolios as well as completion of the transaction documents.
- 18 No action was required."
- 19 Q. So some part in here is underlined, correct?
- 20 A. Yes. This was a red-line version.
- 21 \parallel Q. So what were the changes that Mr. Muir provided to you?
- 22 A. Mr. Muir inserted the phrase "to expand AMG's capabilities
- 23 of servicing online short-term loan portfolios as well as," and
- 24 | then he deleted the word "merger" and added the word
- 25 "transaction."

- 1 Q. Thank you.
- 2 Let's turn now to Government Exhibit 402.
- 3 Are you familiar with this?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 MR. RAVI: The government offers Government Exhibit
- 6 402.
- 7 MR. BATH: No objection.
- 8 THE COURT: Received.
- 9 (Government's Exhibit 402 received in evidence)
- 10 Q. Ms. Williams, is this another e-mail in that same e-mail
- 11 | chain?
- 12 | A. Yes, it is.
- 13 Q. Is this e-mail from Conly Schulte?
- 14 A. Yes, it is.
- 15 Q. What does Mr. Schulte sending you?
- 16 A. His suggested edits.
- 17 | Q. Are these edits to the same draft of the board minutes?
- 18 A. They are. It's another red-line version.
- 19 Q. Let's go to that attachment.
- 20 Where is there any changes that are suggested or given by
- 21 Mr. Schulte?
- 22 | A. In Roman numeral V, under old business. Mr. Schulte
- 23 deleted the phrase "completion of merger documents."
- 24 | Q. Is that the only change that Mr. Schulte provided to you?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. So did both Mr. Muir and Mr. Schulte delete the word
- 2 | "merger" in the draft of the minutes that you provided?
- 3 A. Yes, they did.
- 4 Q. And neither of them were present at this board meeting,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. No, they were not.
- 7 | Q. What is the merger that you're referencing?
- 8 | A. The merger was the merger of AMG Services with CLK in 2008.
- 9 Q. Did you have any discussions with Mr. Brady about why these
- 10 changes were made by Mr. Muir and Mr. Schulte?
- 11 A. The changes were made because of the same concerns that I
- 12 | had, which was that using the term merger contradicted
- 13 Mr. Brady's previous representations that they had acquired 100
- 14 percent of the assets of CLK in 2008.
- 15 | Q. Again, what was the date of this meeting?
- 16 | A. The meeting for where the red-line minutes were received?
- 17 | Q. Yes.
- 18 A. February 1, 2012.
- 19 | Q. When did that supposed merger take place between CLK and
- 20 AMG?
- 21 | A. In June of 2008.
- 22 | Q. Now, Ms. Williams, what was the name of the licensing
- 23 | agreement that you discussed earlier?
- 24 A. We referred to it as the BA Services agreement.
- 25 Q. What is BA Services?

H9K8TUC4 Williams - Direct

1 A. BA Services was a company owned by Scott Tucker.

- A. BA Services was a company owned by Scott lucker.
- 3 licensing agreement relating to the ecash system were being

At some point while the purchase agreement and the

- 4 presented to the AMG board, did you begin to have concerns
- 5 about the loan company in the spring of 2012?
- 6 | A. I did.

Q.

- 7 Q. Describe these concerns.
- 8 A. It was a cumulative process. In early 2012, Don was
- 9 | interviewed because of a tax audit on AMG and MNE Services for
- 10 | withholding, and he himself was concerned because he didn't
- 11 have the information that he thought he should have in order to
- 12 answer the questions properly.
- 13 Then there was the treatment of the mail. The bank
- 14 statements were mailed. They were not opened. They were
- 15 | FedEx'd overnight back to the Kansas City office. All or most
- 16 of the mail was handled -- with the exception of letters that
- 17 | were received from consumer departments and offices of attorney
- 18 general, which went to the law firm, the other mail was just
- 19 sent unopened to Kansas City.
- 20 | Q. Are we talking about bank statements, for example?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Were these bank statements relating to the payday loan
- 23 | business?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Where were they received?

- 1 A. They were received at the P Street address, 3531 P Street
- 2 NW, in Miami, which was the office of the MNE.
- 3 | Q. What was done this mail when it was received at the Miami
- 4 tribe?
- 5 A. It was just FedEx'd overnight unopened.
- 6 Q. To where?
- 7 A. Early on. And then at one point later in time, when the
- 8 | MNE Services board began to have some concerns, then we opened
- 9 | it and made copies and FedEx'd them on.
- 10 | O. Where was this all FedEx'd?
- 11 A. To the Overland Park office.
- 12 | Q. To whose attention?
- 13 A. Natalie Dempsey.
- 14 | Q. Who is Natalie Dempsey?
- 15 | A. Natalie Dempsey was -- I think she functioned as Blaine
- 16 | Tucker's assistant, but she was the person we dealt with for
- 17 | all of the banking information.
- 18 | Q. Describe specifically what your concern was regarding the
- 19 payday loan business.
- 20 | A. Well, it became more and more apparent to me that
- 21 | everything that was in the declarations, which was my initial
- 22 | exposure to how this thing was supposed to be operating, that
- 23 | it was all a scam. There were no decisions made about the loan
- 24 company. There was no control over the loan company. There
- 25 was no access to the financial information. When the MNE

2

3

4

5

- Services board attempted to get errors and omissions insurance for the board members, they couldn't get it because they wouldn't release the financial information to her in order to apply for the insurance.
 - O. Who wouldn't release the financial information?
- A. Gena Lankford and Scott Tucker would not release the information.
- Q. Ms. Williams, at some point did you have a conversation with Mr. Brady that confirmed some of these concerns?
- 10 | A. I did.
- 11 | Q. Did you record that conversation?
- 12 | A. I did.
- 13 | Q. Why did you record it?
- A. I had been very vocal, very, very vocal against them

 signing the BA Services agreement because of all the liability

 that it put on the tribe and --
- 17 THE COURT: Slow down. Back up.
- 18 Say what you're saying slowly.
- 19 A. Thank you.

20

21

22

23

24

25

I had been very vocal in opposition to the BA Services agreement because I had great concerns that the tribe did not have the resources, and since they had no access to the money except for the 1 percent that they got, that they wouldn't be able to pay any fines or penalties associated with either the IRS audit or the BA Services agreement or any of the state

- 1 litigations; and because of that, I thought when Don came in my
- 2 office that day he was going to fire me because he was very
- 3 angry.
- 4 | Q. So is that why you recorded the conversation?
- 5 A. He started the conversation and I thought, well, this is
- 6 | it, and my phone was lying on my desk next to my office phone,
- 7 and for some reason I just picked it up and decided to turn it
- 8 on.
- 9 Q. Did you also record a couple of other conversations with
- 10 Mr. Brady?
- 11 A. I did subsequently.
- 12 | Q. Why did you record those conversations?
- 13 A. I wanted a record to show that I had tried to talk him out
- 14 of this, that I tried --
- 15 THE COURT: Here.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 17 Q. Take your time, Ms. Williams.
- 18 A. That I tried to get him to do the right thing.
- 19 Q. Ms. Williams, you recorded these on your phone?
- 20 A. Yes, on my cell phone.
- 21 | Q. I am going to hand you now, Ms. Williams, what has been
- 22 marked as Government Exhibit 415.
- 23 Do you recognize that?
- 24 | A. I do.
- 25 Q. This government exhibit contains Government Exhibits 404,

- 1 406, 407, 408, 409, and 410.
- 2 Ms. Williams, have you initialed that CD that's Government
- 3 | Exhibit 415?
- 4 A. I have.
- 5 | Q. What date did you initial it?
- 6 A. September 18, 2017.
- 7 | Q. Is that a date that you listened to Government Exhibits
- 8 | 407, 408 and 409?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Are those excerpts of recordings with Mr. Brady?
- 11 A. Yes, they are.
- 12 | Q. The ones that we just discussed?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Are those excerpts that you heard fair and accurate copies
- 15 of the recordings that you made?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 MR. RAVI: The government offers just Government
- 18 Exhibits 407, 408 and 409 into evidence.
- 19 THE COURT: Received.
- 20 (Government's Exhibits 407, 408 and 409 received in
- 21 | evidence)
- 22 | Q. Now, Ms. Williams, I am also going to hand you a binder.
- 23 You can look at Government Exhibits 408T, 409T and 410T.
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Are those transcripts of the excerpts of the recordings

- 1 | that we just discussed?
- 2 A. Yes, they are.
- 3 | Q. Have you reviewed those transcripts?
- 4 | A. I have.
- 5 Q. Have you also initialed them?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Did you initial them on September 18, 2017?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Did you review these transcripts while you were listening
- 10 | to the recording?
- 11 | A. I did.
- 12 | Q. Are those transcripts true and accurate transcriptions of
- 13 the excerpts of the recordings that are Government Exhibits
- 14 | 408, 409 and 410?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- MR. RAVI: The government offers Government Exhibits
 408T, 409T and 410T.
- 18 | THE COURT: Any objection?
- 19 MR. BATH: These are the transcripts that are offered
- 20 to assist and aid. No objection.
- 21 THE COURT: They are received.
- 22 | (Government's Exhibits 408T, 409T and 410T received in
- 23 | evidence)
- 24 | THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I should explain to
- 25 you that the transcripts themselves are not the evidence. The

- recordings are the evidence. The transcripts are aids for you 1
- to follow the recordings, which are the evidence. If you hear 2
- 3 something different when you listen to the recordings than what
- 4 you see on the transcript, it's what you hear that controls.
- 5 They are simply to help you in listening to the actual
- 6 recording.
- 7 Yes, you may distribute them.
- 8 BY MR. RAVI:
- 9 Q. Ms. Williams, turning to the first recording you made with
- 10 Mr. Brady, where did that recording take place?
- 11 In my office at the P Street office.
- 12 What was leading up to that recording? Is that what you
- 13 had just described regarding Mr. Brady appearing to be angry?
- Yes. 14 Α.
- 15 MR. RAVI: If I could ask the jury as well to turn to
- Government Exhibit 408T in their binder. 16
- 17 THE COURT: 408T. Go ahead.
- 18 MR. RAVI: We can go ahead and play Government Exhibit
- 408. 19
- 20 Q. Before we do that, Ms. Williams, what is the date of this
- 21 recording?
- 22 Α. June 12, 2012.
- 23 And this is between you and Mr. Brady, correct? 0.
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 We will start on page 1 of the transcript.

1 MR. RAVI: You can play the recording. 2 (Audiotape played) 3 Q. Ms. Williams, what do you understand Mr. Brady to be talking about when he is talking about bank accounts? 4 5 A. He was saying that all of the bank accounts, except -- he 6 was saying all of the bank accounts were Scott Tucker's bank 7 accounts, even the ones that had the tribe's name on them, even the ones in MNE Services and AMG. 8 9 Q. At line 8, when Mr. Brady says "everything is still going 10 to be coming in the new thing is still coming our way uh, more and more as whatever his, he's got coming out of that." 11 12 What did you understand Mr. Brady to be referring to? 13 A. He was talking about the BA Services agreement and the 14 representations in it that implied that the tribe would be 15 getting more money, but they weren't really getting any more money, they were just taking on all of the liability. And 16 17 there had been an overture, as an incentive to get the tribe to sign off on the BA Services agreement, Scott had offered to pay 18 19 them a dollar per loan going forward. So they were 20 anticipating receiving a lump sum amount. 21 MR. RAVI: We can now play the next portion of 22

Government Exhibit 408. It begins on line 12 of page 1 of the transcript.

(Audiotape played)

23

24

25

Let's go ahead and continue to the next portion.

(Audiotape played)

THE COURT: We are going to break, ladies and gentlemen.

Mr. Ravi, you may be seated.

First of all, to those who will be observing the holiday, good yontif. For the rest of you, enjoy your time off. And let me remind you of how serious it is that you follow the instructions. You are a great jury. You listen to the evidence, you watch the witnesses, you pay attention. And it's important that you follow the instruction not to discuss the case with anyone. That's a hard thing to do, as you go back to your daily lives, not to discuss the case with anyone.

Remember what I told you about a little bit of mystery. Anybody who asks, I'm under a court order. You might add, You don't want to see me go to jail over this, do you? I am required to comply with the judge's order that we not discuss the case, that I not discuss the case with anyone. And that order also includes doing any research on your own. I told you that would be terribly unfair to one side or the other, and you wouldn't want a jury of people that were doing such things if you or a family member were involved in a case. So please observe those instructions.

Now, having said all of that, I hope you enjoy your time off. I am going to miss you. I hope you miss me. Please leave your notepads in the jury room. Please leave these

H9K8TUC4

binders at your seat. They will be here when you come back. And see you bright and early for a 10:00 start on Monday. And Mondays it's a little difficult getting in traveling, and it's also a little bit difficult getting into the building, so please arrive early so we can have a good 10 a.m. start.

Thank you so much, ladies and gentlemen. A lot more to come so keep an open mind.

(Jury exits courtroom)

THE COURT: And to all of you I wish, to the extent applicable, a good holiday, and if otherwise not applicable, I hope you get reacquainted with your family over the few days that you have off, and I will see you bright and early on Monday morning.

MR. GINSBERG: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: We are adjourned. Thank you.

(Adjourned to September 25, 2017, at 10:00 a.m.)

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	JAMES FONTANO
4	Direct By Mr. Scotten
5	Cross By Mr. Roth
6	Redirect By Mr. Scotten 956
7	ALTON IRBY
8	Direct By Mr. Velamoor 958
9	Cross By Mr. Bath
10	Redirect By Mr. Velamoor
11	CAROLYN WILLIAMS
12	Direct By Mr. Ravi
13	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
14	Exhibit No. Received
14 15	Exhibit No. Received 1733
15	1733
15 16	1733
15 16 17	1733
15 16 17 18	1733
15 16 17 18	1733
15 16 17 18 19 20	1733
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1733
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1733
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1733

1	2803
2	2804
3	2805
4	2806 and 2807
5	301
6	302
7	303
8	401
9	402
10	407, 408 and 409
11	408T, 409T and 410T
12	
13	
14	
15	
L6	
L7	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	I